

Europäisches Patentamt  
European Patent Office  
Office européen des brevets



(11) **EP 0 333 523 B1**

(12) **EUROPEAN PATENT SPECIFICATION**

(45) Date of publication and mention  
of the grant of the patent:  
17.07.1996 Bulletin 1996/29

(51) Int. Cl.<sup>6</sup>: **A61K 9/50**

(21) Application number: **89302746.6**

(22) Date of filing: **20.03.1989**

(54) **Method of potentiating an immune response and compositions therefor**

Verfahren zur Potenzierung einer Immunreaktion sowie die dazugehörigen Mittel

Procédé de potentialisation d'une réaction immunitaire et les compositions correspondantes

(84) Designated Contracting States:  
**AT BE CH DE ES FR GB GR IT LI LU NL SE**

(30) Priority: **18.03.1988 US 169973**

(43) Date of publication of application:  
**20.09.1989 Bulletin 1989/38**

(60) Divisional application: **95112851.1**

(73) Proprietors:  
• **THE UAB RESEARCH FOUNDATION**  
Birmingham Alabama 35294 (US)  
• **SOUTHERN RESEARCH INSTITUTE**  
Birmingham Alabama 35255-5305 (US)

(72) Inventors:  
• **Tice, Thomas T.**  
Birmingham Alabama 35244 (US)  
• **Eldridge, John H.**  
Birmingham Alabama 35120 (US)

• **Gilley, Richard M.**  
Birmingham, Alabama 35243 (US)  
• **Stass, Jay K**  
Jefferson County Birmingham Alabama (US)

(74) Representative: **Couchman, Jonathan Hugh et al**  
**Hepworth Lawrence Bryer & Bizley**  
**Bloxam Court**  
**Corporation Street**  
**Rugby, Warwickshire CV21 2DU (GB)**

(56) References cited:  
**EP-A- 0 266 119** **WO-A-88/01213**  
**GB-A- 2 160 312**

Remarks:

The file contains technical information submitted  
after the application was filed and not included in this  
specification

Note: Within nine months from the publication of the mention of the grant of the European patent, any person may give notice to the European Patent Office of opposition to the European patent granted. Notice of opposition shall be filed in a written reasoned statement. It shall not be deemed to have been filed until the opposition fee has been paid. (Art. 99(1) European Patent Convention).

**EP 0 333 523 B1**

## Description

This invention relates to a formulation for orally administering a bioactive agent encapsulated in one or more bio-compatible polymer or copolymer excipients, preferably a biodegradable polymer or copolymer. The bioactive agent is encapsulated as microcapsules, which due to their proper size and physicochemical properties, reach and are effectively taken up by the folliculi lymphatici aggregati, otherwise known as the "Peyer's patches", of the gastrointestinal tract in an animal without loss of effectiveness due to the agent having passed through the gastrointestinal tract. Similar folliculi lymphatici aggregati can be found in the respiratory tract, genitourinary tract, large intestine and other mucosal tissues of the body. Hereafter, the above-described tissues are referred to in general as mucosally-associated lymphoid tissues.

The use of microencapsulation to protect sensitive bioactive agents from degradation has become well-known. Typically, a bioactive agent is encapsulated within any of a number of protective wall materials, usually polymeric in nature. The agent to be encapsulated can be coated with a single wall of polymeric material (microcapsules), or can be homogeneously dispersed within a polymeric matrix (microspheres). (Hereafter, the term microcapsules refers to both microcapsules and microspheres and the terms "encapsulation" and "microencapsulation" should be construed accordingly). The amount of agent inside the microcapsule can be varied as desired, ranging from either a small amount to as high as 95% or more of the microcapsule composition.

Peyer's patches are aggregates of lymphoid nodules located in the wall of the small intestine, large intestine and appendix and are an important part of body's defense against the adherence and penetration of infectious agents and other substances foreign to the body. Antigens are substances that induce the antibody-producing and/or cell-mediated immune systems of the body, and include such things as foreign protein or tissue. The immunologic response induced by the interaction of an antigen with the immune system may be either positive or negative with respect to the body's ability to mount an antibody or cell-mediated immune response to a subsequent reexposure to the antigen. Cell-mediated immune responses include responses such as the killing of foreign cells or tissues, "cell-mediated cytotoxicity", and delayed-type hypersensitivity reactions. Antibodies belong to a class of proteins called immunoglobulins (Ig), which are produced in response to an antigen, and which combine specifically with the antigen. When an antibody and antigen combine, they form a complex. This complex may aid in the clearance of the antigen from the body, facilitate the killing of living antigens such as infectious agents and foreign tissues or cancers, and neutralize the activity of toxins or enzymes. In the case of the mucosal surfaces of the body the major class of antibody present in the secretions which bathe these sites is secretory immunoglobulin A (sIgA). Secretory IgA antibodies prevent the adherence and penetration of infectious agents and other antigens to and through the mucosal tissues of the body.

While numerous antigens enter the body through the mucosal tissues, commonly employed immunization methods, such as intramuscular or subcutaneous injection of antigens or vaccines, rarely induce the appearance of sIgA antibodies in mucosal secretions. Secretory IgA antibodies are most effectively induced through direct immunization of the mucosally-associated lymphoid tissues, of which the Peyer's patches of the gastrointestinal tract represent the largest mass in the body.

Peyer's patches possess IgA precursor B cells which can populate the lamina propria regions of the gastrointestinal and upper respiratory tracts and differentiate into mature IgA synthesizing plasma cells. It is these plasma cells which actually secrete the antibody molecules. Studies by Heremans and Bazin measuring the development of IgA responses in mice orally immunized with antigen showed that a sequential appearance of antigen-specific IgA plasma cells occurred, first in mesenteric lymph nodes, later in the spleen, and finally in the lamina propria of the gastrointestinal tract (Bazin, H., Levi, G., and Doria, G. Predominant contribution of IgA antibody-forming cells to an immune response detected in extraintestinal lymphoid tissues of germ free mice exposed to antigen via the oral route. *J. Immunol.* **105**:1049; 1970 and Crabbe, P.A., Nash, D.R., Bazin, H., Eyssen, H. and Heremans, J.F. Antibodies of the IgA type in intestinal plasma cells of germ-free mice after oral or parenteral immunization with ferritin. *J. Exp. Med.* **130**:723; 1969). Subsequent studies have shown that oral administration of antigens leads to the production of sIgA antibodies in the gut and also in mucosal secretions distant to the gut, e.g., in bronchial washings, colostrum, milk, saliva and tears (Mestecky, J., McGhee, J.R., Arnold, R.R., Michalek, S.M., Prince, S.J. and Babb, J.L. Selective induction of an immune response in human external secretions by ingestion of bacterial antigen. *J. Clin. Invest.* **61**:731; 1978, Montgomery, P.C., Rosner, B.R. and Cohen, J. The secretory antibody response. Anti-DNP antibodies induced by dinitrophenylated Type III pneumococcus. *Immunol. Commun.* **3**:143; 1974, and Hanson, L.A., Ahlstedt, S., Carlsson, B., Kaijser, B., Larsson, P., Mattsby-Baltzer, A., Sohl Akerlund, A., Svanborg Eden, C. and Dvennerholm, A.M. Secretory IgA antibodies to enterobacterial virulence antigens: their induction and possible relevance, *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* **100**:165; 1978). It is apparent, therefore, that Peyer's patches are an enriched source of precursor IgA cells, which, subsequent to antigen sensitization, follow a circular migrational pathway and account for the expression of IgA at both the region of initial antigen exposure and at distant mucosal surfaces. This circular pattern provides a mucosal immune system by continually transporting sensitized B cells to mucosal sites for responses to gut-encountered environmental antigens and potential pathogens.

Of particular importance to the present invention is the ability of oral immunization to induce protective antibodies. It is known that the ingestion of antigens by animals results in the appearance of antigen-specific sIgA antibodies in bronchial and nasal washings. For example, studies with human volunteers show that oral administration of influenza vaccine is effective at inducing secretory anti-influenza antibodies in nasal secretions.

Extensive studies have demonstrated the feasibility of oral immunization to induce the common mucosal immune system, but with rare exception the large doses required to achieve effective immunization have made this approach impractical. It is apparent that any method or formulation involving oral administration of an ingredient be of such design that will protect the agent from degradation during its passage through the gastrointestinal tract and target the delivery of the ingredient to the Peyer's patches. If not, the ingredient will reach the Peyer's patches, if at all, in an inadequate quantity or ineffective condition.

Therefore, there exists a need for a method of oral immunization which will effectively stimulate the immune system and overcome the problem of degradation of the antigen during its passage through the gastrointestinal tract to the Peyer's patch. There exists a more particular need for a method of targeting an antigen to the Peyer's patches and releasing that antigen once inside the body. There also exists a need for a method to immunize through other mucosal tissues of the body which overcomes the problems of degradation of the antigen and targets the delivery to the mucosally-associated lymphoid tissues. In addition, the need exists for the protection from degradation of mucosally applied bioactive agents, improves and/or targets their entrance into the body through the mucosally-associated lymphoid tissues and releases the bioactive agent once it has entered the body.

This invention relates inter alia to a formulation for targeting to and then releasing a bioactive agent in the body of an animal by mucosal application, and in particular, oral and intratracheal administration. The agent is microencapsulated in a biocompatible polymer or copolymer, preferably a biodegradable polymer or copolymer which is capable of passing through the gastrointestinal tract or existing on a mucosal surface without degradation or with minimal degradation so that the agent reaches and enters the Peyer's patches or other mucosally-associated lymphoid tissues unaltered and in effective amounts. The term biocompatible is defined as a polymeric material which is not toxic to the body, is not carcinogenic, and which should not induce inflammation in body tissues. It is preferred that the microcapsule polymeric excipient be biodegradable in the sense that it should degrade by bodily processes to products readily disposable by the body and should not accumulate in the body. The microcapsules are also of a size and physical/chemical composition capable of being effectively and selectively taken up by the Peyer's patches. Therefore, the problems of the agent reaching the Peyer's patch or other mucosally-associated tissue and being taken up are solved.

In one aspect, the invention provides a composition for delivery of bioactive agent to the mucosally associated lymphoreticular tissue of a human or other animal comprising:

biocompatible microcapsules comprising a bioactive agent encapsulated in a biocompatible excipient and having a size of from 1  $\mu\text{m}$  to less than 5  $\mu\text{m}$ , for selective absorption by and passage through mucosally associated lymphoreticular tissue for providing systemic immunity, and

biocompatible microcapsules comprising the bioactive agent encapsulated in a biocompatible excipient and having a size of between 5  $\mu\text{m}$  and 10  $\mu\text{m}$ , for selective absorption and retention by mucosally associated lymphoreticular tissue for providing mucosal immunity, as a combined preparation for potentiating the immune response of a human or other animal.

In a second aspect, there is provided the use in the manufacture of a composition capable of being selectively absorbed by the mucosally associated lymphoreticular tissue for providing a human or other animal with both systemic and mucosal immunity of (1) biocompatible microcapsules comprising a bioactive agent encapsulated in a biocompatible excipient and having a size of from 1  $\mu\text{m}$  to less than 5  $\mu\text{m}$  for providing systemic immunity and (2) biocompatible microcapsules comprising a bioactive agent encapsulated in a biocompatible excipient and having a size of between 5  $\mu\text{m}$  and 10  $\mu\text{m}$  for providing mucosal immunity.

The invention also includes a process for preparing a composition for providing a human or other animal with both systemic and mucosal immunity, comprising formulating for such use (1) biocompatible microcapsules comprising a bioactive agent encapsulated in a biocompatible excipient and having a size of from 1  $\mu\text{m}$  to less than 5  $\mu\text{m}$  for providing systemic immunity and (2) biocompatible microcapsules comprising a bioactive agent encapsulated in a biocompatible excipient and having a size of between 5  $\mu\text{m}$  and 10  $\mu\text{m}$  for providing mucosal immunity.

A fourth aspect of the invention resides in a composition adapted exclusively for administration by a route other than oral administration to the gastrointestinal tract and for selectively delivering a bioactive agent to mucosally associated lymphoreticular tissue of a human or other animal, the composition comprising (1) biocompatible microcapsules comprising a bioactive agent encapsulated in a biocompatible excipient and having a size of from 1  $\mu\text{m}$  to less than 5  $\mu\text{m}$  for providing systemic immunity and (2) biocompatible microcapsules comprising a bioactive agent encapsulated in a biocompatible excipient and having a size of between 5  $\mu\text{m}$  and 10  $\mu\text{m}$  for providing mucosal immunity provided that either (i) the composition is adapted exclusively for oral inhalation, nasal, rectal or ophthalmic administration or (ii) the excipient is not a proteinoid.

In yet further aspects there are provided the use in the manufacture of a composition for providing systemic immunity of biocompatible microcapsules comprising (i) a bioactive agent encapsulated in a biocompatible excipient and hav-

ing a size from 1  $\mu\text{m}$  to less than 5  $\mu\text{m}$  for absorption by and passage through mucosally associated lymphoreticular tissue, or (ii) a bioactive agent encapsulated in a biocompatible excipient and having a size of between 5  $\mu\text{m}$  and 10  $\mu\text{m}$  for absorption and retention by mucosally associated lymphoreticular tissue.

Included in the invention is a composition for potentiating the immune response of a human or other animal comprising microcapsules having a size between 1  $\mu\text{m}$  and 10  $\mu\text{m}$  containing bioactive agent encapsulated in a biocompatible excipient, wherein the composition is adapted exclusively for parenteral administration, provided that the excipient is not a proteinoid or polyacryl starch.

A farther aspect of the invention is a method of preparing a pharmaceutical composition, comprising encapsulating a bioactive agent in a biocompatible excipient to form first microcapsules having a size of from 1  $\mu\text{m}$  to less than 5  $\mu\text{m}$ , and second microcapsules having a size of between 5  $\mu\text{m}$  and 10  $\mu\text{m}$ , and formulating the first and second microcapsules into a pharmaceutical composition adapted exclusively for administration by a route other than oral administration to the gastrointestinal tract, provided that, either (i) the composition is adapted exclusively for oral inhalation, nasal, rectal or ophthalmic administration or (ii) the excipient is not a proteinoid.

The invention additionally provides a method of preparing a pharmaceutical composition, comprising encapsulating a bioactive agent in a biocompatible excipient to form microcapsules having a size between 1  $\mu\text{m}$  and 10  $\mu\text{m}$  and formulating the capsules into a composition adapted exclusively for parenteral administration, provided that the excipient is not a proteinoid or polyacryl starch.

Other aspects of the invention are a composition for potentiating the immune response of a human or other animal, comprising a mixture of a first free bioactive agent to provide a primary response and biocompatible microcapsules having a size between 1  $\mu\text{m}$  and 10  $\mu\text{m}$  and containing a second bioactive agent encapsulated in a biocompatible excipient for release pulsantly to provide a subsequent response, and

a method of preparing a pharmaceutical composition, comprising encapsulating a bioactive agent selected from antigens, allergens, lymphokines, monokines and immunomodulator agents in a biocompatible excipient to form microcapsules having a size between 1  $\mu\text{m}$  and 10  $\mu\text{m}$ , and formulating a said bioactive agent in free form and the microcapsules into the composition.

Preferred embodiments of the invention appear in the dependent claims and the following description.

#### **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURE**

Figure 1 represents the plasma IgA responses in mice determined by endpoint titration.

#### **DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION**

Illustrations of the methods performing embodiments of the invention follow. These illustrations demonstrate the mucosally-associated lymphoid tissue targeting and programmed delivery of the antigens (trinitrophenyl keyhole limpet hemocyanin and a toxoid vaccine of staphylococcal enterotoxin B), and a drug (etretinate) encapsulated in 50:50 poly(DL-lactide-co-glycolide) to mice.

It should be noted, however, that other polymers besides poly(DL-lactide-co-glycolide) may be used. Examples of such polymers include, but are not limited to, poly(glycolide), poly(DL-lactide-co-glycolide), copolyoxalates, polycaprolactone, poly(lactide-co-caprolactone), poly(esteramides), polyorthoesters and poly( $\beta$ -hydroxybutyric acid), and polyanhydrides.

Also, other bioactive ingredients may be used. Examples of such include, but are not limited to, antigens to vaccinate against viral, bacterial, protozoan, fungal diseases such as influenzae, respiratory syncytial, parainfluenza viruses, Hemophilus influenza, Bordetella pertussis, Neisseria gonorrhoeae, Streptococcus pneumoniae and Plasmodium falciparum or other diseases caused by pathogenic microorganisms or antigens to vaccinate against diseases caused by macroorganisms such as helminthic pathogens or antigens to vaccinate against allergies. Additional bioactive agents which may be used include but are not limited to, immunomodulators, nutrients, drugs, peptides, lymphokines and cytokines.

### **I. MICROENCAPSULATION**

#### **A. Preparation of Dye-Loaded Microcapsules**

Coumarin, a water-insoluble fluorescent dye, was microencapsulated with polystyrene, which is a nonbiodegradable polymer, to afford fluorescent microcapsules that could be used to follow the penetration of microcapsules into the Peyer's patches. The procedure used to prepare these microcapsules follows:

First, a polymer solution is prepared by dissolving 4.95 g of polystyrene (Type 685D, Dow Chemical Company, Midland, MI) in 29.5 g of methylene chloride (Reagent Grade, Eastman Kodak, Rochester, NY). Next, about 0.05g of

coumarin (Polysciences, Inc., Warrington, PA) is added to the polymer solution and allowed to dissolve by stirring the mixture with a magnetic stir bar.

In a separate container, 10 wt% aqueous poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA) solution, the processing medium, is prepared by dissolving 40 g of PVA (Vinol 2050, Air Products and Chemicals, Allentown, PA) in 360 g of deionized water. After preparing the PVA solution, the solution is saturated by adding 6 g of methylene chloride. Next, the PVA solution is added to a 1-L resin kettle (Ace Glass, Inc., Vineland, NJ) fitted with a truebore stir shaft and a 2.5-in. teflon impeller and stirred at about 380 rpm by a Fisher "stedi speed" motor.

The polystyrene/coumarin mixture is then added to the resin kettle containing the PVA processing media. This is accomplished by pouring the polystyrene/coumarin mixture through a long-stem 7-mm bore funnel which directs the mixture into the resin kettle. A stable oil-in-water emulsion results and is subsequently stirred for about 30 minutes at ambient pressure to afford oil microdroplets of the appropriate size. Then the resin kettle is closed, and the pressure in the resin kettle is gradually reduced to 520 mm Hg by means of a water aspirator connected to a manometer and a bleed valve. The resin kettle contents are stirred at reduced pressure for about 24 hours to allow all of the methylene chloride to evaporate. After all of the methylene chloride has evaporated, the hardened microcapsules are collected by centrifugation and dried for 72 hours in a vacuum chamber maintained at room temperature.

#### **B. Preparation of Antigen-Loaded Microcapsules**

TNP-KLH, a water-soluble antigen, was encapsulated in poly(DL-lactide-co-glycolide), a biocompatible, biodegradable polyester. The procedure used to prepare the microcapsules follows:

First, a polymer solution was prepared by dissolving 0.5g of 50:50 poly(DL-lactide-co-glycolide) in 4.0 g of methylene chloride. Next, 300 microliters of an aqueous solution of TNP-KLH (46 mg TNP-LKH/mL; after dialysis) was added to and homogeneously dispersed in the poly(DL-lactide-co-glycolide) solution by vortexing the mixture with a Vortex-Genie 2 (Scientific Industries, Inc., Bohemia, NY).

In a separate container, an 8 wt% aqueous PVA solution was prepared by dissolving 4.8 g of PVA in 55.2 g of deionized water. After dissolution of the PVA, the PVA solution was added to a 100-mL resin kettle (Kontes Glass, Inc., Vineland, NJ) fitted with a truebore stirrer and a 1.5-in. (3.8 cm) Teflon® turbine impeller. The polymer solution was then added to the PVA processing medium by pouring through a long-stem 7-mm bore funnel. During this addition, the PVA solution was being stirred at about 650 rpm. After the resulting oil-in-water emulsion was stirred in the resin kettle for about 10 minutes, the contents of the resin kettle were transferred to 3.5 L of deionized water contained in a 4-L beaker and being stirred at about 800 rpm with a 2-in. (5.1 cm) stainless steel impeller. The resultant microcapsules were stirred in the deionized water for about 30 minutes, collected by centrifugation, washed twice with deionized water to remove any residual PVA, and were then collected by freeze drying. The microcapsule products consisted of spherical particles about 1 to 10 micrometers in diameter. Other microcapsules, such as staphylococcal enterotoxin B microcapsules, can be made in a similar manner.

The TNP-KLH content of the antigen-loaded microcapsules, that is, the core loading of the microcapsules, was determined by weighing out 10 mg of antigen-loaded microcapsules in a 12-mL centrifuge tube. Add 3.0 mL of methylene chloride to the tube and vortex to dissolve the poly(DL-lactide-co-glycolide). Next, add 3.0 mL of deionized water to the tube and vortex vigorously for 1 minute. Centrifuge the contents of the centrifuge tube to separate the organic and aqueous layers. Transfer the aqueous layer to a 10-mL volumetric flask. Repeat the extraction combining the aqueous layers in the volumetric flask. Fill the flask to the mark with deionized water. The amount of TNP-KLH in the flask, and subsequently the amount of TNP-KLH in the microcapsules, is then quantified using a protein assay. The microcapsules contained 0.2% TNP-KLH by weight. The staphylococcal enterotoxin B content of staphylococcal enterotoxin B microcapsules can be quantified in a similar manner.

#### **II. PENETRATION OF DYE-LOADED MICROCAPSULES INTO THE PEYER'S PATCHES AFTER ORAL ADMINISTRATION**

By far the largest mass of tissue with the capacity to function as an inductive site for secretory IgA responses is the Peyer's patches. These discrete nodules of lymphoreticular tissue are located along the entire length of the small intestine and appendix. The targeted delivery of intact antigen directly into this tissue to achieve high local concentration is currently believed to be the most effective means of inducing a disseminated mucosal IgA response. Biodegradable microcapsules represent an ideal vehicle to achieve this targeted vaccination.

#### **EXAMPLE 1 - Polystyrene Microcapsules**

The uptake of microcapsules into the gut-associated lymphoreticular tissues and the size restriction of this penetration was investigated by orally administering to mice polystyrene microcapsules, loaded with the fluorescent dye coumarin. Unanesthetized, fasted BALB/c mice were administered 0.5 mL of a 100 mg/mL suspension of various sized

fluorescent microcapsules (less than 5 micrometers or 8 to 50 micrometers in diameter) in tap water into the stomach using a feeding needle. At various times after administration (0.5, 1 and 2 hours), the mice were sacrificed and the small intestine excised. One-centimeter sections of gut containing a discrete Peyer's patch were isolated, flushed of luminal contents, everted and snap frozen. Frozen sections were prepared and examined under a fluorescence microscope to observe the number, location and size of the microcapsules which were taken up into the Peyer's patch from the gut lumen.

Although some trapping of the microcapsules between the villi had prevented their removal during flushing, no penetration into the tissues was observed at any point except the Peyer's patch. At 0.5 hours after oral administration, microcapsules were observed in the Peyer's patch of the proximal, but not the distal, portion of the small intestine. With increasing time the microcapsules were transported by peristaltic movement such that by 2 hours they were throughout the gastrointestinal tract and could be found in the Peyer's patch of the ileum. The endocytosed microcapsules were predominantly located peripherally, away from the apex of the Peyer's patch dome, giving the impression that physical trapping between the dome and adjacent villi during peristalsis had aided in their uptake. Comparison of the efficiency of uptake of the <5 micrometer versus the 8 to 50 micrometer preparations demonstrated that microcapsules >10 micrometers in diameter were not absorbed into the Peyer's patches while microcapsules of 1 to 10 micrometers in diameter were rapidly and selectively taken up. This suggested that microcapsules composed of biodegradable wall materials would serve as an effective means for the targeted delivery of antigens to the lymphoreticular tissues for the induction of immunity at mucosal surfaces.

## **EXAMPLE 2 - 85:15 Poly(DL-lactide-co-glycolide) Microcapsules**

### **1. Uptake of Biocompatible and Biodegradable Microcapsules into the Peyer's Patches**

Groups of mice were administered biodegradable microcapsules containing the fluorescent dye coumarin-6 as a suspension in tap water via a gastric tube. The microcapsule wall material chosen for these studies consisted of 85:15 poly(DL-lactide-co-glycolide) due to its ability to resist significant bioerosion for a period of six weeks. At various times from 1 to 35 days after administration, three representative Peyer's patches, the major mesenteric lymph nodes and the spleens from individual mice were removed, processed and serial frozen sections prepared.

When viewed with a fluorescence microscope using appropriate excitation and barrier filters the coumarin exhibited a deep green fluorescence which allowed the visual detection of microcapsules substantially less than 1 micrometer in diameter. All sections were viewed in order that the total number of microcapsules within each tissue or organ could be quantified. The size of each internalized microcapsule was determined using a calibrated eyepiece micrometer and its location within the tissue or organ was noted.

Internalized microcapsules of various sizes were observed in the Peyer's patches at 24 hours post oral administration and at all time points tested out to 35 days, as shown in Table 1. At no time were microcapsules of any size observed to penetrate into the tissue of the gut at any point other than the Peyer's patches. The total number of microcapsules within the Peyer's patches increased through Day 4 and then decreased over the following 31 days to approximately 15% of the peak number.

This is consistent with the observation that free microcapsules could be observed on the surface of the gut villi at the 1, 2 and 4 day time points. It is of interest that approximately 10 hours following oral administration of the microcapsule suspension the coumarin-loaded microcapsules were frankly observable in the passed feces. This clearance was followed with the aid of an ultraviolet light source and by 24 hours the vast majority of the ingested microcapsules had been passed. Thus, the continued uptake of microcapsules into the Peyer's patches observed at 2 and 4 days must be attributed to the minor fraction of the input dose which became entrapped within mucus between the gut villi. In addition, the efficiency of uptake for the entrapped microcapsules must be several orders of magnitude greater than that of the microcapsules present in the gut lumen, but above the mucus layer. These observations are important when these data are extrapolated to man; the tremendously larger mass of Peyer's patch tissue and the greatly increased transit time for the passage of material through the human small intestine relative to the mouse suggest that the efficiency of microcapsule uptake into the human Peyer's patches will be much higher.

Microcapsules of various sizes were observed within the Peyer's patches at all time points tested as shown in Table 1. At the 1, 2 and 4 day time points the proportion of <2 micrometers (45-47%), 2-5 micrometers (31-35%) and >5 micrometers (18-23%) microcapsules remained relatively constant. Evident at 7 days, and even more so at later time points, was a shift in the size distribution such that the small (<2 micrometers) and medium (2-5 micrometers) microcapsules ceased to predominate and the large (>5 micrometers) microcapsules became the numerically greatest species observed. This shift was concurrent with the decrease in total microcapsule numbers in the Peyer's patches observed on and after Day 7. These results are consistent with the preferential migration of the small and medium sizes of microcapsules from the Peyer's patches while the large (>5 micrometers) microcapsules are preferentially retained.

Consistent with the preferential migration of the small and medium microcapsules out of the Peyer's patches are the data pertaining to the location of microcapsules within the architecture of the Peyer's patches. When a microcapsule

was observed within the Peyer's patch, it was noted to be either relatively close to the dome epithelium where it entered the Peyer's patch (within 200 micrometers) or deeper within the lymphoid tissue ( $\geq 200$  micrometers from the closest identifiable dome epithelium) (Table 1). Microcapsules observed deep within the Peyer's patch tissue were almost exclusively of small and medium diameter. At 1 day post-administration, 92% of the microcapsules were located close to the dome epithelium. The proportion of deeply located microcapsules increased through Day 4 to 24% of the total, and therefore decreased with time to approximately 2% at Day 14 and later. Thus, the small and medium microcapsules migrate through and out of the Peyer's patches, while the large ( $>5$  micrometers) microcapsules remain within the dome region for an extended period of time.

## 2. Microcapsule Migration to the Mesenteric Lymph Nodes and Spleen

A small number of microcapsules were observed in the mesenteric lymph nodes at 1 day post-administration, and the numbers progressively increased through Day 7, as shown in Table 2. After Day 7, the numbers decreased but were still detectable on Day 35. The size distribution clearly showed that microcapsules  $>5$  micrometers in diameter did not enter this tissue, and the higher proportion of small ( $<2$  micrometers) relative to medium (2-5 micrometers) microcapsules at the earlier time points indicated that the smaller diameter microcapsules migrate to this tissue with greatest efficiency. In addition, at the earlier time points, the majority of the microcapsules were located just under the capsule in the subcapsular sinus. Later time points showed a shift in the distribution to deep within the lymph node structure, and by day 14, 90% of the microcapsules were located within the cortex and medullary regions. The observation that the microcapsules are first detected in or near the subcapsular sinus is consistent with their entry into this tissue via the lymphatics which drain the Peyer's patches. A progressive increase in the proportion of the microcapsules located deep in this tissue, clearly discernable at Day 4, followed by a progressive drop in the total numbers on Day 14 and later, suggests that the microcapsules progress through this tissue and extravasate through the efferent lymphatic drainage. (\*)

Similar examination of the spleen showed that no microcapsules were detectable until Day 4 post-administration. Peak numbers of microcapsules were not observed in this organ until Day 14. As in the case of the mesenteric lymph nodes, no microcapsules of  $>5$  micrometers in diameter were observed. At all time points, the microcapsules were observed deep in this organ within the cortex. It should be noted that the peak number of microcapsules was observed in the spleen at a time when the majority of the microcapsules present in the mesenteric lymph nodes was deeply located and their total numbers falling. These data are consistent with the known pattern of lymph drainage from the Peyer's patches to the mesenteric lymph nodes and from the mesenteric lymph nodes to the bloodstream via the thoracic duct. Thus, it appears that the microcapsules present in the spleen have traversed the Peyer's patches and mesenteric lymph nodes and have entered the spleen via the blood circulation.

In additional experiments, tissue sections from Peyer's patches, mesenteric lymph node and spleen which contained absorbed 85:15 DL-PLG microcapsules were examined by histochemical and immunohistochemical techniques. Among other observations, these studies clearly showed that the microcapsules which were absorbed into the Peyer's patches were present within macrophage-like cells which were stained by periodic acid Schiff's reagent (PAS) for intracellular carbohydrate, most probably glycogen, and for major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II antigen. Further, the microcapsules observed in the mesenteric lymph nodes and in the spleen were universally found to have been carried there within these PAS and MHC class II positive cells. Thus, the antigen containing microcapsules have been internalized by antigen-presenting accessory cells (APC) in the Peyer's patches, and these APC have disseminated the antigen-microcapsules to other lymphoid tissues.

These data indicate that the quality of the immune response induced by orally administering a microencapsulated vaccine can be controlled by the size of the particles. Microcapsules  $<5$  micrometers in diameter extravasate from the Peyer's patches within APC and release the antigen in lymphoid tissues which are inductive sites for systemic immune responses. In contrast, the microcapsules 5 to 10 micrometers in diameter remain in the Peyer's patches, also within APC, for extended time and release the antigen into this sIgA inductive site.

### EXAMPLE 3 - Comparison of the Uptake of Microcapsules of 10 Compositions by the Peyer's Patches

Experiments were performed to identify microcapsule polymeric excipients that would be useful for a practical controlled release delivery system and which would possess the physicochemical properties which would allow for targeted absorption of microcapsules into the mucosally-associated lymphoid tissues. In regard to the latter consideration, research has shown that hydrophobic particles are more readily phagocytized by the cells of the reticuloendothelial system. Therefore, the absorption into the Peyer's patches of 1- to 10-micrometer microcapsules of 10 different polymers which exhibit some range with respect to hydrophobicity was examined. The wall materials chosen for these studies consisted of polymers that varied in water uptake, biodegradation, and hydrophobicity. These polymers included polystyrene, poly(L-lactide), poly(DL-lactide), 50:50 poly(DL-lactide-co-glycolide), 85:15 poly(DL-lactide-co-glycolide), poly(hydroxybutyric acid), poly(methyl methacrylate), ethyl cellulose, cellulose acetate hydrogen phthalate, and cellulose.



lose triacetate. Microcapsules, prepared from 7 of the 10 excipients, were absorbed and were predominantly present in the dome region of the Peyer's patches 48 hours after oral administration of a suspension containing 20 mg of microcapsules, as shown in Table 3. None of the microspheres were seen to penetrate into tissues other than the Peyer's patches. With one exception, ethyl cellulose, the efficiency of absorption was found to correlate with the relative hydrophobicity of the excipient. Up to 1,500 microcapsules were observed in the 3 representative Peyer's patches of the mice administered the most hydrophobic group of compounds [poly(styrene), poly(methyl methacrylate), poly(hydroxybutyrate)], while 200 to 1,000 microcapsules were observed with the relatively less hydrophobic polyesters [poly(L-lactide), poly(DL-lactide), 85:15 poly(DL-lactide-co-glycolide), 50:50 poly(DL-lactide-co-glycolide)]. As a class, the cellulose derivatives were not absorbed.

It has been found that the physicochemical characteristics of the microcapsules regulate the targeting of the microcapsules through the efficiency of their absorption from the gut lumen by the Peyer's patches, and that this is a surface phenomenon. Therefore, alterations in the surface characteristics of the microcapsules, in the form of chemical modifications of the polymer or in the form of coatings, can be used to regulate the efficiency with which the microcapsules target the delivery of bioactive agents to mucosally-associated lymphoid tissues and to APC. Examples of coatings which may be employed but are not limited to, chemicals, polymers, antibodies, bioadhesives, proteins, peptides, carbohydrates, lectins and the like of both natural and man made origin.

### III. ANTIBODY RESPONSES INDUCED WITH MICROENCAPSULATED VACCINES

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice. BALB/c mice, 8 to 12 weeks of age, were used in these studies.

#### Trinitrophenyl - Keyhole Limpet Hemocyanin.

Hemocyanin from the keyhole limpet (KLH) *Megathura crenulate* was purchased from Calbiochem (San Diego, CA). It was conjugated with the trinitrophenyl hapten (TNP-KLH) using 2, 4, 6-trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid according to the procedure of Rittenburg and Amkraut (Rittenburg, M.B. and Amkraut, A.A. Immunogenicity of trinitrophenyl-hemocyanin: Production of primary and secondary anti-hapten precipitins. *J. Immunol.* 97:421; 1966). The substitution ratio was spectrophotometrically determined to be TNP<sub>861</sub>-KLH using a molar extinction coefficient of 15,400 at a wavelength of 350 nm and applying a 30% correction for the contribution of KLH at this wavelength.

#### Staphylococcal Enterotoxin B Vaccine.

A formalinized vaccine of staphylococcal enterotoxin B (SEB) was prepared as described by Warren et al. (Warren, J.R., Spero, L. and Metzger, J.F. Antigenicity of formalin-inactivated staphylococcal enterotoxin B. *J. Immunol.* 111:885; 1973). In brief, 1 gm of enterotoxin was dissolved in 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.5, to 2 mg/mL. Formaldehyde was added to the enterotoxin solution to achieve a formaldehyde:enterotoxin mole ratio of 4300:1. The solution was placed in a slowly shaking 37°C controlled environment incubator-shaker and the pH was monitored and maintained at 7.5 ± 0.1 daily. After 30 days, the toxoid was concentrated and washed into borate buffered saline (BBS) using a pressure filtration cell (Amicon), and sterilized by filtration. Conversion of the enterotoxin to enterotoxoid was confirmed by the absence of weight loss in 3 to 3.5 kg rabbits injected intramuscularly with 1 mg of toxoided material.

#### Immunizations.

Microencapsulated and nonencapsulated antigens were suspended at an appropriate concentration in a solution of 8 parts filter sterilized tap water and 2 parts sodium bicarbonate (7.5% solution). The recipient mice were fasted overnight prior to the administration of 0.5 mL of suspension via gastric intubation carried out with an intubation needle (Babb, J.L., Kiyono, H., Michalek, S.M. and McGhee, J.R. LPS regulation of the immune response: Suppression of immune response to orally-administered T-dependent antigen. *J. Immunol.* 127:1052; 1981).

#### Collection of Biological Fluids.

##### 1. Plasma.

Blood was collected in calibrated capillary pipettes following puncture of the retro-orbital plexus. Following clot formation, the serum was collected, centrifuged to remove red cells and platelets, heat-inactivated, and stored at -70°C until assayed.



## 2. Intestinal Secretions.

Mice were administered four doses (0.5 mL) of lavage solution [25 mM NaCl, 40 mM Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, 10 mM KCl, 20 mM NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, and 48.5 mM poly(ethylene glycol), osmolarity of 530 mosM] at 15-minute intervals (Elson, C.O., Ealding, W. and Lefkowitz, J. A lavage technique allowing repeated measurement of IgA antibody on mouse intestinal secretions. J. Immunol. Meth. 67:101; 1984). Fifteen minutes after the last dose of lavage solution, the mice were anesthetized and after an additional 15 minutes they were administered 0.1 mg pilocarpine by ip injection. Over the next 10 to 20 minutes, a discharge of intestinal contents was stimulated. This was collected into a petri dish containing 3 mL of a solution of 0.1 mg/mL soybean trypsin inhibitor (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) in 50 mM EDTA, vortexed vigorously and centrifuged to remove suspended matter. The supernatant was transferred to a round-bottom, polycarbonate centrifuge tube and 30 microliters of 20 millimolar phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF, Sigma) was added prior to clarification by high-speed centrifugation (27,000 x g, 20 minutes, 4°C). After clarification, 20 microliters each of PMSF and 1% sodium azide were added and the solution made 10% in FCS to provide an alternative substrate for any remaining proteases.

## 3. Saliva.

Concurrent with the intestinal discharge, a large volume of saliva is secreted and 0.25 mL was collected into a pasteur pipette by capillary action. Twenty microliters each of trypsin inhibitor, PMSF, sodium azide and FCS was added prior to clarification.

## 4. Bronchial-Alveolar Wash Fluids.

Bronchial-alveolar wash fluids were obtained by lavaging the lungs with 1.0 mL of PBS. An animal feeding needle was inserted intratracheally and fixed in place by tying with suture material. The PBS was inserted and withdrawn 5 times to obtain washings, to which were added 20 microliters each of trypsin inhibitor, PMSF, sodium azide, and FCS prior to clarification by centrifugation.

## 5. Immunochemical Reagents.

Solid-phase absorbed and affinity-purified polyclonal goat IgG antibodies specific for murine IgM, IgG and IgA were obtained commercially (Southern Biotechnology Associates, Birmingham, AL). Their specificity in radioimmunoassays was tested through their ability to bind appropriate purified monoclonal antibodies and myeloma proteins.

## 6. Solid-Phase Radioimmunoassays.

Purified antibodies were labeled with carrier-free Na <sup>125</sup>I (Amersham) using the chloramine T method [Hunter, W.M. Radioimmunoassay. In: Handbook of Experimental Immunology, M. Weir (editor). Blackwell Scientific Publishing, Oxford, p. 14.1; 1978]. Immulon Removawell assay strips (Dynatech) were coated with TNP conjugated bovine serum albumin (BSA) or staphylococcal enterotoxin B at 1 microgram/mL in BBS overnight at 4°C. Control strips were left uncoated but all strips were blocked for 2 hours at room temperature with 1% BSA in BBS, which was used as the diluent for all samples and <sup>125</sup>I-labeled reagents. Samples of biologic fluids were appropriately diluted, added to washed triplicate replicate wells, and incubated 6 hours at room temperature. After washing, 100,000 cpm of <sup>125</sup>I-labeled isotype-specific anti-immunoglobulin was added to each well and incubated overnight at 4°C. Following the removal of unbound <sup>125</sup>I-antibodies by washing, the wells were counted in a Gamma 5500 spectrometer (Beckman Instruments, Inc., San Ramon, CA). In the case of the assays for TNP specific antibodies, calibrations were made using serial twofold dilutions of a standard serum (Miles Scientific, Naperville, IL) containing known amounts of immunoglobulins, on wells coated with 1 microgram/well isotype-specific antibodies. Calibration curves and interpolation of unknowns was obtained by computer, using "Logit-log" or "Four Parameter Logistic" BASIC Technology Center (Vanderbilt Medical Center, Nashville, TN). In the case of antibodies specific to staphylococcal enterotoxin B, the results are presented as the reciprocal serum dilution producing a signal >3-fold that of the group-matched prebleed at the same dilution (end-point titration).

## A. Vaccine-Microcapsules Administered by Injection.

### 1. Adjuvant Effect Imparted by Microencapsulation.

#### **EXAMPLE 1 - Adjuvant Effect Imparted by Microencapsulation-Intraperitoneal Administration.**

Research in our laboratories has shown that microencapsulation results in a profoundly heightened immune response to the incorporated antigen or vaccine in numerous experimental systems. An example is provided by the

direct comparison of the level and isotype distribution of the circulating antibody response to Staphylococcal enterotoxin B, the causative agent of Staphylococcal food poisoning, following immunization with either soluble or microencapsulated enterotoxoid. Groups of mice were administered various doses of the toxoid vaccine incorporated in 50:50 poly(DL-lactide-co-glycolide) microcapsules, or in soluble form, by intraperitoneal (IP) injection. On Days 10 and 20 following immunization, plasma samples were obtained and assayed for anti-toxin activity by end-point titration in isotype-specific immunoradiometric assays (Table 4). The optimal dose of soluble toxoid (25 micrograms) elicited a characteristically poor immune response to the toxin which was detected only in the IgM isotype. In contrast, the administration of 25 micrograms of toxoid incorporated within microcapsules induced not only an IgM response, but an IgG response which was detectable at a plasma dilution of 1/2,560 on Day 20 post immunization. In addition, larger doses of toxoid could be administered in microencapsulated form without decreasing the magnitude of the response, as is seen with the 50 microgram dose of soluble toxoid. In fact, the measured release achieved with the microcapsules allows for 4-5 times the dose to be administered without causing high zone paralysis, resulting in substantially heightened immunity. This adjuvant activity is even more pronounced following secondary (Table 5) and tertiary immunizations (Table 6).

The Day 20 IgG anti-toxin response following secondary immunization was 512 times higher in mice receiving 50 micrograms of microencapsulated toxoid than in mice receiving the optimal dose of soluble toxoid. Further, tertiary immunization with the soluble toxoid at its optimal dose was required to raise an antibody response to the toxin which was equivalent to that observed following a single immunization with 100 micrograms of microencapsulated enterotoxoid. Adjuvant activity of equal magnitude has been documented to common laboratory protein antigens such as haptened keyhole limpet hemocyanin and influenza virus vaccine.

#### **EXAMPLE 2 - Adjuvant Effect Imparted by Microencapsulation-Subcutaneous Administration.**

The present delivery system was found to be active following intramuscular or subcutaneous (SC) injection. This was investigated by directly comparing the time course and level of the immune response following IP and SC injection into groups of mice, as shown in Table 7.

One hundred micrograms of enterotoxoid in microspheres administered by SC injection at 4 sites along the backs of mice stimulated a peak IgG anti-toxin response equivalent to that observed following IP injection. Some delay in the kinetics of anti-toxin appearance was observed. However, excellent antibody levels were attained, demonstrating the utility of injection at sites other than the peritoneum. Following secondary immunization the IP and SC routes were again equivalent with respect to peak titer, although the delayed response of the SC route was again evident, as shown in Table 8.

#### **2. Mechanism of the Adjuvant Effect Imparted by Microencapsulation.**

**EXAMPLE 1 - The Adjuvant Effect Imparted by Microencapsulation is Not the Result of Adjuvant Activity Intrinsic to the Polymer.**

When considering the mechanism through which 1-10 micrometer DL-PLG microspheres mediate a potentiated humoral immune response to the encapsulated antigen, three mechanisms must be considered as possibilities. First, the long term chronic release (depot), as compared to a bolus dose of nonencapsulated antigen, may play a role in immune enhancement. Second, our experiments have shown that microspheres in this size range are readily phagocytized by antigen processing and presenting cells. Therefore, targeted delivery of a comparatively large dose of nondegraded antigen directly to the cells responsible for the initiation of immune responses to T cell-dependent antigens must also be considered. Third, the microcapsules may possess intrinsic immunopotentiating activity through their ability to activate cells of the immune system in a manner analogous to adjuvants such as bacterial lipopolysaccharide or muramyl-di-peptide. Immunopotentiality by this latter mechanism has the characteristic that it is expressed when the adjuvant is administered concurrently with the antigen.

In order to test whether microspheres possess any innate adjuvancy which is mediated through the ability of these particles to nonspecifically activate the immune system, the antibody response to 100 micrograms of microencapsulated enterotoxoid was compared to that induced following the administration of an equal dose of enterotoxoid mixed with placebo microspheres containing no antigen. The various antigen forms were administered by IP injections into groups of 10 BALB/c mice and the plasma IgM and IgG enterotoxin-specific antibody responses determined by end-point titration RIAs, as shown in Table 9.

The plasma antibody response to a bolus injection of the optimal dose of soluble enterotoxoid (25 micrograms) was characteristically poor and consisted of a peak IgM titer of 800 on day 10 and a peak IgG titer of 800 on day 20. Administration of an equal dose of microencapsulated enterotoxoid induced a strong response in both the IgM and IgG isotypes which was still increasing on day 30 after immunization. Coadministration of soluble enterotoxoid and a dose of placebo microspheres equal in weight, size and composition to those used to administer encapsulated antigen did not

induce a plasma anti-toxin response which was significantly higher than that induced by soluble antigen alone. This result was not changed by the administration of the soluble antigen 1 day before or 1, 2 or 5 days after the placebo microspheres. Thus, these data indicate that the immunopotentiality expressed when antigen is administered within 1-10 micrometer DL-PLG microspheres is not a function of the ability of the microspheres to intrinsically activate the immune system. Rather, the data are consistent with either a depot effect, targeted delivery of the antigen to antigen-presenting accessory cells, or a combination of these two mechanisms.

**EXAMPLE 2 - Retarding the Antigen Release Rate from 1-10 Micrometer Microcapsules Increases the Level of the Antibody Response and Delays the Time of the Peak Response.**

Four enterotoxoid containing microcapsule preparations with a variety of antigen release rates were compared for their ability to induce a plasma anti-toxin response following IP injection. The rate of antigen release by the microcapsules used in this study is a function of two mechanisms; diffusion through pores in the wall matrix and hydrolysis (bioerosion) of the wall matrix. Batches #605-026-1 and #514-140-1 have varying initial rates of release through pores, followed by a second stage of release which is a function of their degradation through hydrolysis. In contrast, Batches #697-143-2 and #928-060-00 have been manufactured with a tight uniform matrix of wall material which has little release through pores and their release is essentially a function of the rate at which the wall materials are hydrolyzed. However, these latter two lots differ in the ratio of lactide to glycolide composing the microcapsules, and the greater resistance of the 85:15 DL-PLG to hydrolysis results in a slower rate of enterotoxoid release.

The immune response induced by Batch #605-026-1 (60% release at 48 hours) reached a peak IgG titer of 6,400 on day 20 (Table 10). Batch #514-140-1 (30% release at 48 hours) stimulated IgG antibodies which also peaked on day 20, but which were present in higher concentration both on days 20 and 30.

Immunization with Batch #697-143-2 (10% release at 48 hours) resulted in peak IgG antibody levels on days 30 and 45 which were substantially higher (102,400) than those induced by either lot with early release. Further delaying the rate of antigen release through the use of an 85:15 ratio of lactide to glycolide, Batch #928-060-00 (0% release at 48 hours) delayed the peak antibody levels until days 45 and 60, but no further increase in immunopotentiality was observed.

These results are consistent with a delayed and sustained release of antigen stimulating a higher antibody response. However, certain aspects of the pattern of responses induced by these various microspheres indicate that a depot effect is not the only mechanism of immunopotentiality. The faster the initial release, the lower the peak antibody titer. These results are consistent with a model in which the antigen released within the first 48 hours via diffusion through pores is no more effective than the administration of soluble antigen. Significant delay in the onset of release to allow time for phagocytosis of the microspheres by macrophages allows for the effective processing and presentation of the antigen, and the height of the resulting response is governed by the amount of antigen delivered into the presenting cells. However, delay of antigen release beyond the point where all the antigen is delivered into the presenting cells does not result in further potentiation of the response, it only delays the peak level.

**2. Pulsatile Release of Vaccines from Microcapsules for Programmed Boosting Following a Single Injection**

When one receives any of a number of vaccines by injection, two to three or more administrations of the vaccine are required to elicit a good immune response. Typically, the first injection is given to afford a primary response, the second injection is given to afford a secondary response, a third injection is given to afford a tertiary response. Multiple injections are needed because repeated interaction of the antigen with immune system cells is required to stimulate a strong immunological response. After receiving the first injection of vaccine, a patient, therefore, must return to the physician on several occasions to receive the second, third, and subsequent injections to acquire protection. Often patients never return to the physician to get the subsequent injections.

The vaccine formulation that is injected into a patient may consist of an antigen in association with an adjuvant. For instance, an antigen can be bound to alum. During the first injection, the use of the antigen/adjuvant combination is important in that the adjuvant aids in the stimulation of an immune response. During the second and third injections, the administration of the antigen improves the immune response of the body to the antigen. The second and third administrations or subsequent administrations, however, do not necessarily require an adjuvant.

Alza Corporation has described methods for the continuous release of an antigen and an immunopotentialator (adjuvant) to stimulate an immune response (U.S. Patent No. 4,455,142). This invention differs from the Alza patent in at least two important manners. First, no immunopotentialator is required to increase the immune response, and second, the antigen is not continuously released from the delivery system.

The present invention concerns the formulation of vaccine (antigen) into microcapsules (or microspheres) whereby the antigen is encapsulated in biodegradable polymers, such as poly(DL-lactide-co-glycolide). More specifically, different vaccine microcapsules are fabricated and then mixed together such that a single injection of the vaccine capsule

mixture improves the primary immune response and then delivers antigen in a pulsatile fashion at later time points to afford secondary, tertiary, and subsequent responses.

The mixture of microcapsules consists of small and large microcapsules. The small microcapsules, less than 10 microns, preferably less than 5 micrometers, or more preferably 1 to 5 micrometers, potentiate the primary response (without the need of an adjuvant) because the small microcapsules are efficiently recognized and taken up by macrophages. The microcapsules inside of the macrophages then release the antigen which is subsequently processed and presented on the surface of the macrophage to give the primary response. The larger microcapsules, greater than 5 micrometers, preferably greater than 10 microns, but not so large that they cannot be administered for instance by injection, preferably less than 250 micrometers, are made with different polymers so that as they biodegrade at different rates, they release antigen in a pulsatile fashion.

Using the present invention, the composition of the antigen microcapsules for the primary response is basically the same as the composition of the antigen microcapsules used for the secondary, tertiary, and subsequent responses. That is, the antigen is encapsulated with the same class of biodegradable polymers. The size and pulsatile release properties of the antigen microcapsules then maximizes the immune response to the antigen.

The preferred biodegradable polymers are those whose biodegradation rates can be varied merely by altering their monomer ratio, for example, poly(DL-lactide-co-glycolide), so that antigen microcapsules used for the secondary response will biodegrade faster than antigen microcapsules used for subsequent responses, affording pulsatile release of the antigen.

In summary, by controlling the size of the microcapsules of basically the same composition, one can maximize the immune response to an antigen. Also important is having small microcapsules (microcapsules less than 10 micrometers, preferably less than 5 micrometers, most preferably 1 to 5 micrometers) in the mixture of antigen microcapsules to maximize the primary response. The use of an immune enhancing delivery system, such as small microcapsules, becomes even more important when one attempts to elicit an immune response to less immunogenic compounds such as killed vaccines, subunit vaccines, low-molecular-weight vaccines such as peptides, and the like.

#### **EXAMPLE 1 - Coadministration of Free and Microencapsulated Vaccine.**

A Japanese Encephalitis virus vaccine (Biken) was studied. The virus used is a product of the Research Foundation for Microbial Disease of Osaka University, Suita, Osaka, Japan. The manufacturer recommends a three dose immunization series consisting of two doses of vaccine administered one to two weeks apart followed by administration of a third dose of vaccine one month after the initial immunization series. We have compared the antiviral immune responses of mice immunized with a standard three dose schedule of JE vaccine to the antiviral response of mice immunized with a single administration of JE vaccine consisting of one part unencapsulated vaccine and two parts encapsulated vaccine. The JE microcapsules were >10 micrometers. The results of immunizing mice with JE vaccine by these two methods were compared by measuring the serum antibody titers against JE vaccine detected through an ELISA assay. The ELISA assay measures the presence of serum antibodies with specificity of JE vaccine components, however, it does not measure the level of virus neutralizing antibody present in the serum. The virus neutralizing antibody activity was therefore measured by virus cytopathic effect (CPE) inhibition assays and virus plaque reduction assays. The results of those assays are presented here.

Four experimental groups consisting of (1) untreated control mice which receive no immunization; (2) mice which received 3.0 mg of JE vaccine (unencapsulated) on Day 0; (3) mice which received 3.0 mg of JE vaccine (unencapsulated) on Days 0, 14 and 42 (standard schedule) and (4) mice which received 3.0 mg of JE vaccine (unencapsulated) and 3.0 mg of JE vaccine (encapsulated) on day 0 were studied. The untreated controls provide background virus neutralization titers against which immunized animals can be compared. The animals receiving a single 3.0 mg dose of JE vaccine on Day 0 provide background neutralization titers against which animals receiving unencapsulated vaccine in conjunction with encapsulated vaccine can be compared. The comparison provides evidence that the administration of encapsulated vaccine augments the immunization potential of a single 3.0 mg dose of unencapsulated vaccine. The animals receiving 3 doses of unencapsulated vaccine provide controls against which the encapsulated vaccine group can be compared so as to document the ability of a single injection consisting of both nonencapsulated and encapsulated vaccine to produce antiviral activity comparable to a standard three dose immunization schedule.

Serum samples collected on Days 21, 49 and 77 from ten animals in each experimental group were tested for their ability to inhibit the cytopathic effects induced by a standard challenge (100 TCID<sub>50</sub>) of JE virus. The results of the CPE inhibition assays, expressed as the highest serum dilution capable of inhibiting 50% of the viral CPE, are presented in Table 11. As is shown, the untreated control animals (Group 1) had no significant serum virus neutralizing activity at any point tested. Of the ten animals receiving a single 3.0 mg dose of JE vaccine on Day 0 (Group 2), one did not develop any detectable virus neutralizing antibody. Of the remaining nine mice, the highest titer achieved was 254 which occurred on Day 49. The geometric mean antiviral titer for this experimental group peaked on Day 49. Of the ten animals receiving a standard schedule of three vaccine doses (Group 3), eight had a decrease in antibody activity from Day 49 to Day 77. The geometric mean titer for this group decreased by greater than 50% from Day 40 to Day 77. All ten animals

receiving encapsulated JE vaccine (Group 4) developed serum antiviral activity. The geometric mean titer for this group increased from Day 21 to Day 77. The average titer occurring on Day 49 in this group was significantly lower than that occurring in the 3 vaccine dose group (Group 3) ( $p = 0.006$ ); however, the titer continued to increase from Day 49 to Day 77 which is in contrast to the 3 vaccine dose group. There was no significant difference in the average titer for these two groups in the Day 77 samples ( $p = .75$ ) indicating that the encapsulated vaccine group achieved comparable serum antiviral titers at Day 77. Unlike the 3 vaccine dose group (Group 3), the animals receiving encapsulated vaccine (Group 4) continued to demonstrate increases in serum virus neutralizing activity throughout the timepoints examined. In contrast to the standard vaccine treatment group, mice receiving encapsulated JE vaccine had a two-fold increase in the average serum neutralizing titer from Day 49 to Day 77. The Day 21 average antiviral titer from mice receiving microencapsulated vaccine was not significantly different from the Day 21 average titer of mice receiving a single dose of JE vaccine on Day 0 ( $p = .12$ ); however, the day 49 and Day 77 average titers were significantly different for the two groups ( $p = .03$  and  $p = .03$ , respectively). These data indicate that serum virus neutralizing titers similar to those produced by standard vaccine administration can be achieved by administering a single dose of encapsulated JE vaccine. Although the antiviral titers achieved with the excipient formulation used in this study did not increase as rapidly as those achieved with the standard vaccine, the serum neutralizing antibody activity did reach titers which are comparable to those achieved with the standard three dose vaccine schedule.

To further corroborate these findings, pooled samples produced by mixing equal volumes of each serum sample were prepared for each experimental group. These samples were submitted to an independent laboratory for determination of antiviral activity. The samples were tested by plaque reduction assay against a standard challenge of JE virus. The results of these assays, presented in Table 12, substantiate the findings described above. Although the animals receiving encapsulated vaccine did not reach peak titers as rapidly as did the standard vaccine group, the encapsulated vaccine did induce comparable virus neutralizing antibody activity. Furthermore, the encapsulated vaccine maintained a higher antiviral titer over a longer period of time than did the standard vaccine. These results further support the conclusion that a single administration of microencapsulated vaccine can produce results comparable to those achieved with a three dose schedule of standard vaccine.

#### **EXAMPLE 2 - Coadministration of <10 Micrometer and >10 Micrometer Vaccine Microcapsules**

One advantage of the copolymer microcapsule delivery system is the ability to control the time and/or rate at which the incorporated material is released. In the case of vaccines this allows for scheduling of the antigen release in such a manner as to maximize the antibody response following a single administration. Among the possible release profiles which would be expected to improve the antibody response to a vaccine is a pulsed release (analogous to conventional booster immunizations).

The possibility of using a pulsed release profile was investigated by subcutaneously administered 100 micrograms of enterotoxoid to groups of mice either in 1-10 micrometer (50:50 DL-PLG; 1.51 wt% enterotoxoid), 20-125 mm (50:50 DL-PLG; 0.64 wt% enterotoxoid) or in a mixture of 1-10 micrometer and 20-125 micrometer microcapsules in which equal parts of the enterotoxoid were contained within each size range. The groups of mice were bled at 10 day intervals and the plasma IgG responses were determined by endpoint titration in isotype-specific immunoradiometric assays employing solid-phase absorbed enterotoxin (Figure 1). Following the administration of the 1-10 micrometer enterotoxoid microcapsules the plasma IgG response was detected on day 10, rose to a maximal titer of 102,400 on days 30 and 40, and decreased through day 60 to 25,600. In contrast, the response to the toxoid administered in 20-125 micrometer microcapsules was delayed until day 30, and thereafter increased to a titer of 51,200 on days 50 and 60. The concomitant administration of equal parts of the toxoid in 1-10 and 20-125 micrometer microcapsules produced an IgG response which was for the first 30 days essentially the same as that stimulated by the 1-10 micrometer microcapsules administered alone. However, beginning on day 40 the response measured in the mice concurrently receiving the 1-10 plus 20-125 micrometer microcapsules steadily increased to a titer of 819,200 on day 60, a level which was far more than the additive amount of the responses induced by the two size ranges administered singly.

The antibody response obtained through the coadministration of 1-10 and 20-125 micrometer enterotoxoid-containing microcapsules is consistent with a two phase (pulsed) release of the antigen. The first pulse results from the rapid ingestion and accelerated degradation of the 1-10 micrometer particles by tissue histiocytes, which results in a potentiated primary immune response due to the efficient loading of high concentrations of the antigen into these accessory cells, and most probably their activation. The second phase of antigen release is due to the biodegradation of the 20-125 micrometer microcapsules, which are too large to be ingested by phagocytic cells. This second pulse of antigen is released into a primed host and stimulates an anamnestic immune response. Thus, using the 50:50 DL-PLG copolymer, a single injection vaccine delivery system can be constructed which potentiates antibody responses (1-10 micrometer microcapsules), and which can deliver a timed and long lasting secondary booster immunization (20-125 micrometer microcapsules). In addition, through alteration of the ratio of the copolymers, it is possible to prepare formulations which release even later, in order to provide tertiary or even quaternary boostings without the need for additional injections.

Therefore, there exist a number of possible approaches to vaccination by the injectable microcapsules of the present invention. Among these include multiple injections of small microcapsules, preferably 1 to 5 micrometers, that will be engulfed by macrophages and obviate the need for immunopotentiators, as well as mixtures of free antigen for a primary response in combination with microcapsulated antigen in the form of microcapsules having a diameter of 10 micrometers or greater that release the antigen pulsatile to potentiate secondary and tertiary responses and provide immunization with a single administration. Also, a combination of small microcapsules for a primary response and larger microcapsules for secondary and later responses may be used, thereby obviating the need for both immunopotentiators and multiple injections.

#### B. Vaccine-Microcapsules Administered Orally

**EXAMPLE 1** - Orally-Administered Microspheres Containing TNP-KLH Induce Concurrent Circulating and Mucosal Antibody Responses to TNP.

Microcapsules containing the haptenated protein antigen trinitrophenyl-keyhole limpet hemocyanin (THP-KLH) were prepared using 50:50 DL-PLG as the excipient. These microcapsules were separated according to size and those in the range of 1 to 5 micrometers in diameter were selected for evaluation. These microcapsules contained 0.2% antigen by weight. Their ability to serve as an effective antigen delivery system when ingested was tested by administering 0.5 mL of a 10 mg/mL suspension (10 micrograms antigen) in bicarbonate-buffered sterile tap water via gastric incubation on 4 consecutive days. For comparative purposes an additional group of mice was orally immunized in parallel with 0.5 mL of 20 micrograms/mL solution of unencapsulated TNP-KLH. Control mice were orally administered diluent only.

On Days 14 and 28 following the final immunization, serum, saliva and gut secretions were obtained from 5 fasted mice in each group. These samples were tested in isotype-specific radioimmunoassays to determine the levels of TNP-specific and total antibodies of the IgM, IgG and IgA isotypes (Table 13). The samples of saliva and gut secretions contained antibodies which were almost exclusively of the IgA class. These results are consistent with previous studies and provide evidence that the procedures employed to collect these secretions do not result in contamination with serum. None of the immunization protocols resulted in significant changes in the total levels of immunoglobulins present in any of the fluids tested. Low but detectable levels of naturally-occurring anti-TNP antibodies of the IgM and IgG isotypes were detected in the serum and of the IgA isotype in the serum and gut secretions of sham immunized control mice. However, the administration of 30 micrograms of microencapsulated TNP-KLH in equal doses over 3 consecutive days resulted in the appearance of significant antigen-specific IgA antibodies in the secretions, and of all isotypes in the serum by Day 14 after immunization (see the last column of Table 13). These antibody levels were increased further on Day 28. In contrast, the oral administration of the same amount of unencapsulated antigen was ineffective at inducing specific antibodies of any isotype in any of the fluids tested.

These results are noteworthy in several respects. First, significant antigen-specific IgA antibodies are induced in the serum and mucosal secretions, a response which is poor or absent following the commonly used systemic immunization methods. Therefore, this immunization method would be expected to result in significantly enhanced immunity at the mucosa; the portal of entry or site of pathology for a number of bacterial and viral pathogens. Secondly, the microencapsulated antigen preparation was an effective immunogen when orally administered, while the same amount of unencapsulated antigen was not. Thus, the microencapsulation resulted in a dramatic increase in efficacy, due to targeting of and increased uptake by the Peyer's patches. Thirdly, the inductive phase of the immune response appears to be of long duration. While systemic immunization with protein antigens in the absence of adjuvants is characterized by a peak in antibody levels in 7 to 14 days, the orally administered antigen-containing microcapsules induced responses were higher at Day 28 than Day 14. This indicates that bioerosion of the wall materials and release of the antigen is taking place over an extended period of time, and thus inducing a response of greater duration.

**EXAMPLE 2** - Orally Administered Microcapsules Containing SEB Toxoid Induce Concurrent Circulating and Mucosal Anti-SEB Toxin Antibodies.

The results presented above which show that (a) strong adjuvant activity is imparted by microencapsulation, and (b) microcapsules <5 micrometers in diameter disseminate to the mesenteric lymph nodes and spleen after entering through the Peyer's patches, suggested that it would be feasible to induce a systemic immune response by oral immunization with vaccine incorporated into appropriately sized biodegradable microcapsules. This possibility was confirmed in experiments in which groups of mice were immunized with 100 micrograms of Staphylococcal enterotoxin B in soluble form or within microcapsules with a 50:50 DL-PLG excipient. These mice were administered the soluble or microencapsulated toxoid via gastric tube on three occasions separated by 30 days, and plasma samples were obtained on Days 10 and 20 following each immunization. The data presented in Table 14 show the plasma end point titers of the IgM and IgG anti-toxin responses for the Day 20 time point after the primary, secondary and tertiary oral immunizations.



Mice receiving the vaccine incorporated in microcapsules exhibited a steady rise in plasma antibodies specific to the toxin with each immunization while soluble enterotoxoid was ineffective. This experiment employed the same lot of microcapsules and was performed and assayed in parallel with the experiments presented in Tables 4, 5 and 6 above. Therefore, these data directly demonstrate that oral immunization with microencapsulated staphylococcal enterotoxoid B is more effective at inducing a serum anti-toxin response than is the parenteral injection of the soluble enterotoxoid at its optimal dose.

The secretory IgA response was examined in the same groups of mice. It was reasoned that the characteristics of this lot of enterotoxoid-containing microcapsules, a heterogeneous size range from <1 micrometer to approximately 10 micrometers, made it likely that a proportion of the microcapsules released the toxoid while fixed in the Peyer's patches. Therefore, on Days 10 and 20 following the tertiary oral immunization saliva and gut wash samples were obtained and assayed for toxin-specific antibodies of the IgA isotype (Table 15). In contrast to the inability of the soluble toxoid to evoke a response when administered orally, the ingestion of an equal amount of the toxoid vaccine incorporated into microcapsules resulted in a substantial sIgA anti-toxoid response in both the saliva and gut secretions. It should be pointed out that the gut secretions from each mouse are diluted into a total of 5 mL during collection. Although it is difficult to determine the exact dilution factor this imposes on the material collected, it is safe to assume that the sIgA concentration is at minimum 10-fold higher in the mucus which bathes the gut, and this has not been taken into account in the measurements present here.

These data clearly demonstrate the efficacy of microencapsulated enterotoxoid in the induction of a sIgA anti-toxin response in both the gut and at a distant mucosal site when administered orally. Furthermore, through the use of a mixture of microcapsules with a range of diameters from <1 to 10 micrometers it is possible to induce this mucosal response concomitant with a strong circulating antibody response. This suggests that a variety of vaccines can be made both more effective and convenient to administer through the use of microencapsulation technology.

#### C. Vaccine Microcapsules Administered Intratracheally.

**EXAMPLE 1** - Intratracheally Administered Microcapsules Containing SEB Toxoid Induce Concurrent Circulating and Mucosal Anti-Toxin Antibodies.

Folliculi lymphatici aggregati similar to the Peyer's patches of the gastrointestinal tract are present in the mucosally-associated lymphoid tissues found at other anatomical locations, such as the respiratory tract. Their function is similar to that of the Peyer's patches in that they absorb materials from the lumen of the lungs and are inductive sites for antibody responses which are characterized by a high proportion of sIgA. The feasibility of immunization through the bronchial-associated lymphoid tissue was investigated. Groups of mice were administered 50 microliters of PBS containing 50 micrograms of SEB toxoid in either microencapsulated or nonencapsulated form directly into the trachea. On days, 10, 20, 30 and 40 following the immunization, samples of plasma, saliva, gut washings and bronchial-alveolar washings were collected.

Assay of the plasma samples for anti-toxin specific antibodies revealed that the administration of free SEB toxoid did not result in the induction of a detectable antibody response in any isotype (Table 16). In contrast, intratracheal instillation of an equal dose of microencapsulated SEB vaccine elicited toxin specific antibodies of all isotypes. This response reached maximal levels on Day 30 and was maintained through day 40 with IgM, IgG and IgA titers of 400, 51,300 and 400, respectively.

Similar to the responses observed in the plasma, toxin-specific antibodies in the bronchial-alveolar washings were induced by the microencapsulated toxoid, but not by the nonencapsulated vaccine (Table 17). The kinetics of the appearance of the anti-toxin antibodies in the bronchial secretions was delayed somewhat as compared to the plasma response in that the Day 20 response was only detected in the IgG isotype and was low in comparison to the plateau levels eventually obtained. However, maximal titers of IgG and IgA antitoxin antibodies (1,280 and 320, respectively) were attained by Day 30 and were maintained through Day 40. No IgM class antibodies were detected in the bronchial-alveolar washings using this immunization method, a result consistent with the absence of IgM secreting plasma cells in the lungs and the inability of this large antibody molecule to transudate from the serum past the approximately 200,000 molecular weight cut off imposed by the capillary-alveolar membrane.

These data demonstrate that microencapsulation allowed an immune response to take place against the antigen SEB toxoid following administration into the respiratory tract while the nonencapsulated antigen was ineffective. This response was observed both in the circulation and in the secretions bathing the respiratory tract. It should be noted that this immunization method was effective at inducing the appearance of IgA class antibodies. This antibody is presumably the product of local synthesis in the upper respiratory tract, an area which is not protected by the IgG class antibodies which enter the lower lungs from the blood circulation. Thus, intratracheal immunization with microencapsulated antigens, through the inhalation of aerosols, will be an effective means of inducing antibodies which protect the upper respiratory tract.



D. Vaccine Microcapsules Administered by Mixed Immunization Routes.

In both man and animals, it has been shown that systemic immunization coupled with mucosal presentation of antigen is more effective than any other combination in promoting mucosal immune responses (Pierce, N.F. and Gowans, J.L. Cellular kinetics of the intestinal immune response to cholera toxoid in rats. *J. Exp. Med.* **142**:1550; 1975). Three groups of mice were primed by IP immunization with 100 micrograms of microencapsulated SEB toxoid and 30 days later were challenged with 100 micrograms of microencapsulated SEB toxoid by either the IP, oral or IT routes. This was done to directly determine if a mixed immunization protocol utilizing microencapsulated antigen was advantageous with respect to the levels of sIgA induced.

Twenty days following the microencapsulated booster immunizations, samples of plasma, gut washings and bronchial-alveolar washings were obtained and the levels and isotype distribution of the anti-SEB toxin antibodies were determined in endpoint titration radioimmunoassays (Table 18). The IP boosting of IP primed mice led to the appearance of high levels of IgG anti-toxin antibodies in the samples of plasma and secretions, but was completely ineffective at the induction of detectable IgA antibodies in any fluid tested. In contrast, secondary immunization with microencapsulated SEB toxoid by either the oral or IT routes efficiently boosted the levels of specific IgG antibodies in the plasma (pre-secondary immunization titer in each group was 51,200) and also induced the appearance of significant levels of sIgA antibodies in the gut and bronchial-alveolar washings. Oral boosting of IP primed mice induced sIgA anti-SEB toxin antibodies to be secreted into the gut secretions at levels which were comparable with those requiring three spaced oral immunizations (Table 18 as compared to Table 15). Intratracheal boosting of previously IP immunized mice was particularly effective in the induction of a disseminated mucosal response and elicited the appearance of high concurrent levels of IgG and sIgA antibodies in both the samples of bronchial-alveolar and gut secretions.

These results are particularly important with respect to immunization against numerous infectious agents which exert their pathophysiologic effects through acute infections localized to the respiratory tract. Antibodies present within the respiratory tract originate from two different sources. Secretory IgA predominates in the mucus which bathes the nasopharynx and bronchial tree (Soutar, C.A. Distribution of plasma cells and other cells containing immunoglobulin in the respiratory tract of normal man and class of immunoglobulin contained therein. *Thorax* **31**:58; 1976 and Kaltreider, H.B. and Chan, M.K.L. The class-specified immunoglobulin composition of fluids obtained from various levels of canine respiratory tract. *J. Immunol.* **116**:423; 1976) and is the product of local plasma cells which are located in the lamina propria of the upper respiratory tract. In contrast to the nasopharynx and bronchial tree, the bronchioli and alveoli predominantly contain IgG which is passively-derived from the blood circulation via transudation (Reynolds, H.Y. and Newball, H.H. Analysis of proteins and respiratory cells obtained from human lungs by bronchial lavage. *J. Lab. Clin. Med.* **84**:559; 1974). Thus, effective protein of the lungs requires both circulating IgG and mucosal sIgA antibodies.

These data indicate that mixed route immunization protocols utilizing microencapsulated antigens will prove the most efficient in the induction of concurrent circulating and mucosal antibody responses. Although the experiments reported here examine discrete priming and boosting steps which each required an administration of microencapsulated antigen, it will be possible to use the flexibility in controlled pulsatile release afforded by the microcapsule delivery system to design a single time of administration regimen which will stimulate maximum concurrent systemic and secretory immunity. As an example, microencapsulated antigen could be administered by both injection and ingestion during a single visit to the physician. By varying the lactide to glycolide ratio in the two doses, the systemically administered dose could be released within a few days to prime the immune system, and the second (oral) dose could be released in the Peyer's patches at a later time to stimulate a boosted mucosal response.

IV. ABSORPTION OF PHARMACEUTICALS.

The following example shows that small microcapsules (less than 5 micrometers, preferably 1 to 5 microns) can also improve the absorption of pharmaceuticals as well as antigens into the body. Etretnate, (A11-E)-9-(4-methoxy-2,3,6-trimethyl) phenyl-3, 7-dimethyl-2,4,8-nonatetraenoic acid, ethyl ester) was microencapsulated in 50:50 poly(DL-lactide-co-glycolide). The microcapsules were 0.5 to 4 micrometers in diameter and contained 37.2 wt% etretinate. These etretinate microcapsules, as well as unencapsulated etretinate, was administered to mice by oral gavage using 1 wt% Tween 80 in water as a vehicle. Only single doses of 50 mg etretinate/kg were given. Blood from the dosed mice was collected at specific time intervals and the serum of this blood was quantified for etretinate and/or its metabolites using a high performance chromatographic procedure (Table 19). The results show that mice treated with the etretinate microcapsules had significantly higher blood levels of etretinate than mice treated with unencapsulated etretinate. Like the less than 5-micrometer vaccine microcapsules, it is believed that the microcapsules carry the etretinate to the blood stream via the lymphoidal tissue (Peyer's patches) in the gastrointestinal tract. This same approach should be applicable to increasing the absorption of other drugs, where its application would be especially useful for the delivery of biological pharmaceuticals such as peptides, proteins, nucleic acids, and the like.

Tables 1 to 19 follow:-

Table 1

Penetration of Coumarin-6 85:15 DL-PLG Microspheres Into and Through the Peyer's Patches Following Oral Administration						
Time (days)	Total number observed	Proportion of diameter(%)			Proportion at location(%)	
		Small < 2 $\mu$ m	Medium 2-5 $\mu$ m	Large > 5 $\mu$ m	Dome	Deep
1	296	47	35	18	92	8
2	325	45	32	23	83	17
4	352	46	31	23	76	24
7	196	21	29	41	88	11
14	148	16	29	55	98	2
21	91	7	27	66	98	2
28	63	5	24	71	100	0
35	52	6	19	79	97	3

Table 2

Migration of Coumarin-6 85:15 DL-PLG Microspheres Into and Through the Mesenteric Lymph Nodes Following Oral Administration						
Time (days)	Total number observed	Proportion of diameter(%)			Proportion at location(%)	
		Small < 2 $\mu$ m	Medium 2-5 $\mu$ m	Large > 5 $\mu$ m	Dome	Deep
1	8	50	50	0	100	0
2	83	76	24	0	95	5
4	97	73	27	0	73	27
7	120	67	32	0	64	36
14	54	83	17	0	9	91
21	20	75	25	0	5	95
28	15	67	32	0	0	100
35	9	44	56	0	0	100

Table 3

Targeted Absorption of 1- to 10-um Microspheres with Various Excipients by the Peyer's Patches of the Gut-Associated Lymphoid Tissues Following Oral Administration		
Microsphere Excipient	Biodegradable	Absorption by the Peyer's patches
Poly(styrene)	No	Very Good
Poly(methyl methacrylate)	No	Very Good
Poly(hydroxybutyrate)	Yes	Very Good
Poly(DL-lactide)	Yes	Good
Poly(L-lactide)	Yes	Good
85:15 Poly(DL-lactide-co-glycolide)	Yes	Good
50:50 Poly(DL-lactide-co-glycolide)	Yes	Good
Cellulose acetate hydrogen phthalate	No	None
Cellulose triacetate	No	None
Ethyl cellulose	No	None

Table 4

Primary Anti-Toxin Response to Microencapsulated Versus Soluble Staphylococcal Enterotoxoid B						
Toxoid Dose (µg)		Form	Plasma Anti-Toxin Titer			
Day 20					Day 10	
IgM	IgG				IgM	IgG
100		Microencapsulated	1,280	320	1,280	10,240
50		Microencapsulated	640	320	1,280	5,120
25		Microencapsulated	320	<20	640	2,560
50		Soluble	<20	<20	<20	<20
25		Soluble	320	<20	160	<20
12.5		Soluble	40	<20	<20	<20

Table 5

Secondary Anti-Toxin Response to Microencapsulated Versus Soluble Staphylococcal Enterotoxinoid B					
Toxoid Dose ( $\mu$ g) per Immunization	Form	Plasma Anti-Toxin Titer			
		Day 10		Day 20	
		IgM	IgG	IgM	IgG
100	Microencapsulated	320	163,840	160	81,920
50	Microencapsulated	640	81,920	640	163,840
25	Microencapsulated	2,560	40,960	640	81,920
50	Soluble	160	<20	80	<20
25	Soluble	320	160	160	320
12.5	Soluble	160	40	40	80

Table 6

Tertiary Anti-Toxin Response to Microencapsulated Versus Soluble Staphylococcal Enterotoxinoid B					
Toxoid Dose ( $\mu$ g) per Immunization	Form	Plasma Anti-Toxin Titer			
		Day 10		Day 20	
		IgM	IgG	IgM	IgG
100	Microencapsulated	1,280	655,360	640	327,680
50	Microencapsulated	2,560	327,680	280	327,680
25	Microencapsulated	2,560	327,680	640	163,840
50	Soluble	640	1,280	640	640
25	Soluble	320	10,240	80	10,240
12.5	Soluble	160	1,280	40	1,280

Table 7

Primary Systemic Anti-Toxin Response Induced by Various Parenteral Immunization Routes				
Dose ( $\mu$ g) of Microencapsulated Toxoid	Immunization Route	Plasma IgG Anti-Toxin Titer		
		Day 15	Day 30	Day 45
100	Intraperitoneal	12,800	102,400	204,800
100	Subcutaneous	6,400	25,600	204,800

Table 8

Secondary Systemic Anti-Toxin Response Induced by Various Parenteral Immunization Routes				
Dose ( $\mu$ g) Microencapsulated Toxoid per Immunization	Immunization Routes	Plasma IgG Anti-Toxin Titer		
		Day 15	Day 30	Day 45
100	IP - IP	819,200	1,638,400	3,276,800
100	SC - SC	409,600	819,200	3,276,800

Table 9

Microspheres Do not Possess Inherent Adjuvant Activity							
Dose ( $\mu$ g) of Toxoid	Form	Plasma Anti-Toxin Titer					
		Day 10		Day 20		Day 30	
		IgM	IgG	IgM	IgG	IgM	IgG
25	Antigen in Microspheres	6,400	6,400	400	12,800	800	25,600
25	Soluble Antigen	800	<50	200	800	100	<50
25	Antigen plus Placebo Microspheres	800	<50	200	<50	200	50

Table 10. Systemic Anti-Toxin Response Induced by Parenteral Immunization  
 $\mu$ m Microspheres Releasing Antigen at Various Rates

Dose ( $\mu$ g) of Toxoid	Form	Lactide/ Glycolide Ratio	Antigen release at 48 Hr	Plasma IgG Anti-Toxin Titer on Day					
				10	15	20	30	45	60
100	Soluble	--	--	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
100	Microspheres	50:50	60%	400	--	6,400	3,200	--	--
100	Microspheres	50:50	30%	400	--	12,800	6,400	--	--
100	Microspheres	50:50	10%	--	6,400	--	102,400	102,400	51,200
100	Microspheres	85:15	0%	--	3,200	--	51,200	102,400	102,400

**EP 0 333 523 B1**

Table 11

Results of CPE Inhibition Assays on Serum Samples from the JE Vaccine Immunization Studies			
Animal	Dilution of serum capable of reducing virus-induced CPE by 50% on Day		
	21	49	77
Group 1 = Untreated Controls			
GMT <sup>a</sup>	<10	11	11
Average	<10	11	11
Maximum	<10	16	<20
Minimum	<10	<10	<10
Group 2 = 3.0 mg unencapsulated JE vaccine IP on Day 10			
GMT	44	73	50
Average	55	95	71
Maximum	127	254	160
Minimum	<10	13	<10
Group 3 = 3.0 mg unencapsulated JE vaccine IP on Days 0, 14 and 42			
GMT	507	3,880	1,576
Average	934	5,363	2,951
Maximum	4,064	>10,240	>10,240
Minimum	160	806	254
Group 4 = 3.0 mg unencapsulated + 3.0 mg microencapsulated JE vaccine IP on Day 0			
GMT	77	718	1,341
Average	803	1,230	2,468
Maximum	320	5,120	10,240
Minimum	13	160	254

<sup>a</sup>GMT = Geometric mean titers.



Table 12

Results of Plaque-Reduction Assays on Pooled Serum Samples from the JE Vaccine Immunization Studies				
Group	Treatment	Day	Serum dilution to reach	
			50% endpoint	80% endpoint
1 <sup>a</sup>	Controls	0	<10	<10
1	Controls	14	<10	<10
1	Controls	21	<10	<10
1	Controls	42	<10	<10
1	Controls	49	<10	<10
1	Controls	84	<10	<10
2 <sub>b</sub>	Unencapsulated JE	0	<10	<10
2	Unencapsulated JE	14	160	20
2	Unencapsulated JE	21	ND <sup>c</sup>	ND
2	Unencapsulated JE	42	320	80
2	Unencapsulated JE	49	320	40
2	Unencapsulated JE	84	640	160
3 <sup>d</sup>	Unencapsulated JE	0	<10	<10
3	Unencapsulated JE	14	160	40
3	Unencapsulated JE	21	2,560	640
3	Unencapsulated JE	42	1,280	640
3	Unencapsulated JE	49	5,120	2,560
3	Unencapsulated JE	84	2,560	1,280
4 <sup>e</sup>	Microencapsulated JE	0	<10	<10
4	Microencapsulated JE	14	160	20
4	Microencapsulated JE	21	320	80
4	Microencapsulated JE	42	5,120	640
4	Microencapsulated JE	49	5,120	640
4	Microencapsulated JE	84	10,000	2,560

<sup>a</sup>Untreated controls.<sup>b</sup>Animals received 3.0 mg of unencapsulated JE vaccine IP on Day 0.<sup>c</sup>ND = Not determined (insufficient sample quantity).<sup>d</sup>Animals received 3.0 mg of unencapsulated JE vaccine IP on Day 0, 14 and 42.<sup>e</sup>Animals received 3.0 mg of unencapsulated and 3.0 mg of microencapsulated JE vaccine IP on Day 0.

Table 13. The Induction of TNP-Specific Antibodies in the Serum Mucosal Secretions of BALB/c Mice by Oral Immunization with Microencapsulated TNP-KLH

Immunogen	Time after immunization	Biologic sample	IgM Immunoglobulin/mL sample						IgA	
			IgM		IgG		Total	Anti-TNP	Total	Anti-TNP
			Total	Anti-TNP	Total	Anti-TNP				
Control	Day 14	Gut wash Saliva Serum	<1 <40 445,121	<1 <10 6	62 <40 5,503,726	<1 <10 37			79,355 2,651 1,470,553	25 <10 32
Unencapsulated TNP-KLH	Day 14	Gut wash Saliva Serum	4 <40 298,733	1 <10 11	131 <40 6,000,203	<1 <10 29			64,985 1,354 1,321,986	17 <10 21
TNP-KLH Microcapsules	Day 14	Gut wash Saliva Serum	3 <40 360,987	<1 <10 1,461	130 <40 5,312,896	<1 <10 572			95,368 1,461 1,411,312	222 88 1,077
Unencapsulated TNP-KLH	Day 28	Gut wash Saliva Serum	<1 <40 301,223	<1 <10 21	94 <40 5,788,813	<1 <10 67			88,661 1,278 1,375,322	64 <10 63
TNP-KLH Microcapsules	Day 28	Gut wash Saliva Serum	4 <40 320,192	<1 <10 1,904	122 <40 5,951,503	2 <10 2,219			82,869 1,628 1,277,505	422 130 1,198

Table 14

Plasma IgM and IgG Anti-Toxin Levels on Day 20 Following Primary, Secondary, and Tertiary Oral Immunization with Soluble or Microencapsulated (50:50 DL-PLG) Staphylococcal Toxoid							
Enterotoxoid dose ( $\mu$ g) per immunization	Form	Plasma anti-toxin titer on day 20 following oral immunization					
		Primary		Secondary		Tertiary	
		IgM	IgG	IgM	IgG	IgM	IgG
100	Microspheres	80	1,280	320	5,120	1,280	40,960
100	Soluble	<20	<20	80	<20	640	<20

Table 15

Toxin-Specific IgA Antibodies in the Saliva and Gut Fluids of Mice on Days 10 and 20 After Tertiary Oral Immunization with Soluble or Microencapsulated Enterotoxoid					
Enterotoxoid dose ( $\mu$ g) per immunization	Form	IgA anti-enterotoxin titer following tertiary oral immunization			
		Day 10		Day 20	
		Saliva	Gut Wash	Saliva	Gut Wash
100	Microspheres	1,280	1,024	640	256
100	Microspheres Soluble	40	<8	10	<8

Table 16. Serum Anti-Toxin Antibody Levels Induced Through Intratracheal Immunization with Soluble or Microencapsulated Staphylococcal Enterotoxin B Toxoid

Enterotoxinoid dose ( $\mu$ g)	Form	Plasma anti-toxin titer on day following intratracheal immunization											
		10			20			30			40		
		IgM	IgG	IgA	IgM	IgG	IgA	IgM	IgG	IgA	IgM	IgG	IgA
50	Microencapsulated	<50	<50	<50	200	25,600	400	400	51,200	400	400	51,200	400
50	Soluble	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50

Table 17. Bronchial-Alveolar Washing Antibody Levels Induced Through Intratracheal Immunization with Soluble or Microencapsulated Staphylococcal Enterotoxin B Toxoid

Enterotoxoid dose ( $\mu$ g)	Form	Bronchial-alveolar washing anti-toxin titer on day following intratracheal immunization											
		10			20			30			40		
		IgM	IgG	IgA	IgM	IgG	IgA	IgM	IgG	IgA	IgM	IgG	IgA
50	Microencapsulated	<5	<5	<5	<5	80	<5	<5	1,280	320	<5	1,280	320
50	Soluble	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	20	50

Table 18. Anti-SEB Toxin Antibody Responses Induced in Various Biological Fluids by Mixed Route Immunization Protocols Using Microencapsulated SEB Toxoid

Anti-toxin titer on day 20 following secondary immunization											
Route of Immunization		Dose of Microencapsulated SEB toxoid per	Plasma			Gut Wash			Bronchial Wash		
Primary	Secondary	Immunization ( $\mu$ g)	IgM	IgG	IgA	IgM	IgG	IgA	IgM	IgG	IgA
1P	1P	100	3,200	1,638,400	<50	<20	10,240	<20	<5	10,240	<5
1P	Oral	100	1,600	204,800	<50	<20	640	640	<5	2,560	1,280
1P	IT	100	1,600	819,200	<50	<20	2,560	2,560	<5	20,480	2,560

Table 19

Concentration of Etretinate in Mouse Serum After Oral Dosing with Microencapsulated and Unencapsulated Etretinate		
Times/hr	Etretinate Concentration, ng/mL	
	Microcapsules	Uncapsulated Drug
1	4,569	191
3	634	158
6	242	<31
24	ND	ND
ND = None detected		

## 20 Claims

Claims for the following Contracting States : DE, GB, FR, IT, NL, SE, CH, LI, BE, AT, LU

1. A composition for delivery of bioactive agent to the mucosally associated lymphoreticular tissue of a human or other animal comprising:
  - biocompatible microcapsules comprising a bioactive agent encapsulated in a biocompatible excipient and having a size of from 1  $\mu\text{m}$  to less than 5  $\mu\text{m}$ , for selective absorption by and passage through mucosally associated lymphoreticular tissue for providing systemic immunity, and
  - biocompatible microcapsules comprising the bioactive agent encapsulated in a biocompatible excipient and having a size of between 5  $\mu\text{m}$  and 10  $\mu\text{m}$ , for selective absorption and retention by mucosally associated lymphoreticular tissue for providing mucosal immunity,
 as a combined preparation for potentiating the immune response of a human or other animal.
2. A composition of claim 1 in which the bioactive agent is an antigen, an allergen, a lymphokine, a cytokine, a monokine or an immunomodulator.
3. A composition of claim 2 in which the bioactive agent is influenza antigen, Staphylococcus antigen, respiratory syncytial antigen, parainfluenza virus antigen, Hemophilus influenza antigen, Bordetella pertussis antigen, Neisseria gonorrhoeae antigen, Streptococcus pneumoniae antigen, Plasmodium falciparum antigen, helminthic pathogen antigen, viral antigen, bacterial antigen, fungal antigen or protozoan antigen or an antigen to vaccinate against allergy.
4. A composition of claim 3 in which the bioactive agent comprises an influenza virus or staphylococcal enterotoxin B.
5. A composition of any of claims 1 to 4, wherein the excipient comprises a polymer or copolymer.
6. A composition of claim 5, wherein the excipient comprises a poly(DL-lactide-co-glycolide), a poly(L-lactide), a poly(DL-lactide), a poly(glycolide), a copolyoxalate, a polycaprolactone, a poly(lactide-co-caprolactone), a poly(esteramide), a polyorthoester, a poly( $\beta$ -hydroxybutyric acid) or a polyanhydride or a mixture thereof.
7. A composition of any one of claims 1 to 6, wherein the composition is adapted exclusively for oral administration to the gastrointestinal tract.
8. A composition of any one of claims 1 to 6, wherein the composition is adapted exclusively for oral inhalation, nasal, rectal or ophthalmic administration.
9. A composition of any one of claims 1 to 6 which is for oral inhalation, nasal, rectal or ophthalmic administration.



10. The use in the manufacture of a composition capable of being selectively absorbed by the mucosally associated lymphoreticular tissue for providing a human or other animal with both systemic and mucosal immunity of (1) biocompatible-microcapsules comprising a bioactive agent encapsulated in a biocompatible excipient and having a size of from 1  $\mu\text{m}$  to less than 5  $\mu\text{m}$  for providing systemic immunity and (2) biocompatible microcapsules comprising a bioactive agent encapsulated in a biocompatible excipient and having a size of between 5  $\mu\text{m}$  and 10  $\mu\text{m}$  for providing mucosal immunity.
11. A process for preparing a composition for providing a human or other animal with both systemic and mucosal immunity, comprising formulating for such use (1) biocompatible microcapsules comprising a bioactive agent encapsulated in a biocompatible excipient and having a size of from 1  $\mu\text{m}$  to less than 5  $\mu\text{m}$  for providing systemic immunity and (2) biocompatible microcapsules comprising a bioactive agent encapsulated in a biocompatible excipient and having a size of between 5  $\mu\text{m}$  and 10  $\mu\text{m}$  for providing mucosal immunity.
12. The use of claim 10 or a process of claim 11 which further includes the specific feature(s) recited in one or more of claims 2 to 9.
13. A composition adapted exclusively for administration by a route other than oral administration to the gastrointestinal tract and for selectively delivering a bioactive agent to mucosally associated lymphoreticular tissue of a human or other animal, the composition comprising (1) biocompatible microcapsules comprising a bioactive agent encapsulated in a biocompatible excipient and having a size of from 1  $\mu\text{m}$  to less than 5  $\mu\text{m}$  for providing systemic immunity and (2) biocompatible microcapsules comprising a bioactive agent encapsulated in a biocompatible excipient and having a size of between 5  $\mu\text{m}$  and 10  $\mu\text{m}$  for providing mucosal immunity provided that either (i) the composition is adapted exclusively for oral inhalation, nasal, rectal or ophthalmic administration or (ii) the excipient is not a proteinoid.
14. A composition of claim 13 which further includes the specific feature(s) recited in one or more of claims 2 to 6, 8 and 9.
15. The use in the manufacture of a composition for providing systemic immunity of biocompatible microcapsules comprising a bioactive agent encapsulated in a biocompatible excipient and having a size from 1  $\mu\text{m}$  to less than 5  $\mu\text{m}$  for absorption by and passage through mucosally associated lymphoreticular tissue.
16. The use in the manufacture of a composition for providing mucosal immunity of biocompatible microcapsules comprising a bioactive agent encapsulated in a biocompatible excipient and having a size of between 5  $\mu\text{m}$  and 10  $\mu\text{m}$  for absorption and retention by mucosally associated lymphoreticular tissue.
17. The use of claim 15 or claim 16 which further includes the specific feature(s) recited in one or more of claims 2 to 9.
18. A composition for potentiating the immune response of a human or other animal comprising microcapsules having a size between 1  $\mu\text{m}$  and 10  $\mu\text{m}$  containing bioactive agent encapsulated in a biocompatible excipient, wherein the composition is adapted exclusively for parenteral administration, provided that the excipient is not a proteinoid or polyacryl starch.
19. A composition of claim 18 which further includes the specific feature(s) recited in one or more of claims 2 to 6.
20. A method of preparing a pharmaceutical composition, comprising encapsulating a bioactive agent in a biocompatible excipient to form first microcapsules having a size of from 1  $\mu\text{m}$  to less than 5  $\mu\text{m}$ , and second microcapsules having a size of between 5  $\mu\text{m}$  and 10  $\mu\text{m}$ , and formulating the first and second microcapsules into a pharmaceutical composition adapted exclusively for administration by a route other than oral administration to the gastrointestinal tract, provided that, either (i) the composition is adapted exclusively for oral inhalation, nasal, rectal or ophthalmic administration or (ii) the excipient is not a proteinoid.
21. A method of claim 20 which further includes the specific feature(s) recited in one or more of claims 2 to 6.
22. A method of preparing a pharmaceutical composition, comprising encapsulating a bioactive agent in a biocompatible excipient to form microcapsules having a size between 1  $\mu\text{m}$  and 10  $\mu\text{m}$  and formulating the capsules into a composition adapted exclusively for parenteral administration, provided that the excipient is not a proteinoid or polyacryl starch.

23. A method of claim 22 which further includes the specific feature(s) recited in one or more of claims 2 to 6.
24. A composition for potentiating the immune response of a human or other animal, comprising a mixture of a first free bioactive agent to provide a primary response and biocompatible microcapsules having a size of between 1  $\mu\text{m}$  and 10  $\mu\text{m}$  and containing a second bioactive agent encapsulated in a biocompatible excipient for release pulsately to provide a subsequent response.
25. A composition of claim 24, wherein at least one of the agents is an antigen, an allergen, a lymphokine, a cytokine, a monokine or an immunomodulator.
26. A composition of claim 24, wherein the first and second agents are both an antigen or are both an allergen.
27. A composition of any of claims 24 to 26, wherein the excipient is as defined in claim 5 or in claim 6.
28. A method of preparing a pharmaceutical composition, comprising encapsulating a bioactive agent selected from antigens, allergens, lymphokines, cytokines, monokines and immunomodulator agents in a biocompatible excipient to form microcapsules having a size of between 1  $\mu\text{m}$  and 10  $\mu\text{m}$  and formulating a bioactive agent selected as aforesaid in free form and the microcapsules into the composition.
29. A method of claim 28 wherein the first and second agents are both an antigen or are both an allergen.
30. A method of claim 28 or claim 29, wherein the excipient is as defined in claim 5 or in claim 6.

**Claims for the following Contracting States : ES, GR**

1. A method of making a composition for delivery of bioactive agent to the mucosally associated lymphoreticular tissue of a human or other animal comprising:  
 encapsulating a bioactive agent in a biocompatible excipient to form first biocompatible microcapsules having a size of from 1  $\mu\text{m}$  to less than 5  $\mu\text{m}$ , for selective absorption by and passage through mucosally associated lymphoreticular tissue for providing systemic immunity, and  
 encapsulating the bioactive agent in a biocompatible excipient to form second biocompatible microcapsules having a size of between 5  $\mu\text{m}$  and 10  $\mu\text{m}$ , for selective absorption and retention by mucosally associated lymphoreticular tissue for providing mucosal immunity,  
 and combining the first and second microcapsules to form a combined preparation for potentiating the immune response of a human or other animal.
2. A method of claim 1 in which the bioactive agent is an antigen, an allergen, a lymphokine, a cytokine, a monokine or an immunomodulator.
3. A method of claim 2 in which the bioactive agent is influenza antigen, Staphylococcus antigen, respiratory syncytial antigen, parainfluenza virus antigen, Hemophilus influenza antigen, Bordetella pertussis antigen, Neisseria gonorrhoeae antigen, Streptococcus pneumoniae antigen, Plasmodium falciparum antigen, helminthic pathogen antigen, viral antigen, bacterial antigen, fungal antigen or protozoan antigen or an antigen to vaccinate against allergy.
4. A method of claim 3 in which the bioactive agent comprises an influenza virus or staphylococcal enterotoxin B.
5. A method of any of claims 1 to 4, wherein the excipient comprises a polymer or a copolymer.
6. A method of claim 5, wherein the excipient comprises a poly(DL-lactide-co-glycolide), a poly(L-lactide), a poly(DL-lactide), a poly(glycolide), a copolyoxalate, a polycaprolactone, a poly(lactide-co-caprolactone), a poly(esteramide), a polyorthoester, a poly( $\beta$ -hydroxybutyric acid) or a polyanhydride or a mixture thereof.
7. A method of any one of claims 1 to 6, wherein the microcapsules are combined to form a composition adapted exclusively for oral administration to the gastrointestinal tract.
8. A method of any one of claims 1 to 6, wherein the microcapsules are combined to form a composition adapted exclusively for oral inhalation, nasal, rectal or ophthalmic administration.

9. A method of any one of claims 1 to 6, wherein the microcapsules are combined to form a composition which is for oral inhalation, nasal, rectal or ophthalmic administration.
- 5 10. The use in the manufacture of a composition capable of being selectively absorbed by the mucosally associated lymphoreticular tissue for providing a human or other animal with both systemic and mucosal immunity of (1) biocompatible-microcapsules comprising a bioactive agent encapsulated in a biocompatible excipient and having a size of from 1  $\mu\text{m}$  to less than 5  $\mu\text{m}$  for providing systemic immunity and (2) biocompatible microcapsules comprising a bioactive agent encapsulated in a biocompatible excipient and having a size of between 5  $\mu\text{m}$  and 10  $\mu\text{m}$  for providing mucosal immunity.
- 10 11. A process for preparing a composition for providing a human or other animal with both systemic and mucosal immunity, comprising encapsulating a bioactive agent in a biocompatible excipient to form first biocompatible microcapsules having a size of from 1  $\mu\text{m}$  to less than 5  $\mu\text{m}$  for providing systemic immunity, encapsulating a bioactive agent in a biocompatible excipient to form second biocompatible microcapsules having a size of between 5  $\mu\text{m}$  and 10  $\mu\text{m}$  for providing mucosal immunity, and formulating the first and second microcapsules into a composition for such use.
- 15 12. The use of claim 10 or a process of claim 11 which further includes the specific feature(s) recited in one or more of claims 2 to 9.
- 20 13. The use in the manufacture of a composition for providing systemic immunity of biocompatible microcapsules comprising a bioactive agent encapsulated in a biocompatible excipient and having a size from 1  $\mu\text{m}$  to less than 5  $\mu\text{m}$  for absorption by and passage through mucosally associated lymphoreticular tissue.
- 25 14. The use in the manufacture of a composition for providing mucosal immunity of biocompatible microcapsules comprising a bioactive agent encapsulated in a biocompatible excipient and having a size of between 5  $\mu\text{m}$  and 10  $\mu\text{m}$  for absorption and retention by mucosally associated lymphoreticular tissue.
- 30 15. The use of claim 13 or claim 14 which further includes the specific feature(s) recited in one or more of claims 2 to 9.
- 35 16. A method of preparing a pharmaceutical composition, comprising encapsulating a bioactive agent in a biocompatible excipient to form first microcapsules having a size of from 1  $\mu\text{m}$  to less than 5  $\mu\text{m}$ , and second microcapsules having a size of between 5  $\mu\text{m}$  and 10  $\mu\text{m}$ , and formulating the first and second microcapsules into a pharmaceutical composition adapted exclusively for administration by a route other than oral administration to the gastrointestinal tract, provided that, either (i) the composition is adapted exclusively for oral inhalation, nasal, rectal or ophthalmic administration or (ii) the excipient is not a proteinoid.
17. A method of claim 16 which further includes the specific feature(s) recited in one or more of claims 2 to 6.
- 40 18. A method of preparing a pharmaceutical composition, comprising encapsulating a bioactive agent in a biocompatible excipient to form microcapsules having a size between 1  $\mu\text{m}$  and 10  $\mu\text{m}$  and formulating the capsules into a composition adapted exclusively for parenteral administration, provided that the excipient is not a proteinoid or polyacryl starch.
- 45 19. A method of claim 18 which further includes the specific feature(s) recited in one or more of claims 2 to 6.
20. A method of preparing a pharmaceutical composition, comprising encapsulating a bioactive agent in a biocompatible excipient to form microcapsules having a size of between 1  $\mu\text{m}$  and 10  $\mu\text{m}$  and formulating a said bioactive agent in free form and the microcapsules into the composition.
- 50 21. A method of claim 20, wherein the bioactive agent is selected from antigens, allergens, lymphokines, cytokines, monokines and immunomodulator agents.
22. A method of claim 21, wherein the first and second agents are both an antigen or are both an allergen.
- 55 23. A method of any of claims 20 to 22, wherein the excipient is as defined in claim 5 or claim 6.

## Patentansprüche

Patentansprüche für folgende Vertragsstaaten : DE, GB, FR, IT, NL, SE, CH, LI, BE, AT, LU

- 5 1. Zubereitung zur Abgabe eines bioaktiven Mittels an das mit der Schleimhaut verbundene lymphoretikuläre Gewebe eines Menschen oder eines anderen Tieres, umfassend:
  - biokompatible Mikrokapseln, die ein bioaktives Mittel umfassen, das in einem biokompatiblen Träger eingekapselt ist, und die eine Größe von 1 µm bis weniger als 5 µm aufweisen, zur selektiven Absorption durch und zum
  - 10 Durchgang durch mit der Schleimhaut verbundenes lymphoretikulares Gewebe zur Schaffung von systemischer Immunität; und
  - biokompatible Mikrokapseln, die das bioaktive Mittel umfassen, das in einem biokompatiblen Träger eingekapselt ist, und die eine Größe zwischen 5 µm und 10 µm aufweisen, zur selektiven Absorption und Retention durch mit der Schleimhaut verbundenes lymphoretikulares Gewebe zur Schaffung von Schleimhaut-Immunität;
  - 15 als kombiniertes Präparat zum Potenzieren der Immunantwort eines Menschen oder eines anderen Tieres.
2. Zubereitung nach Anspruch 1, worin das bioaktive Mittel ein Antigen, ein Allergen, ein Lymphokin, ein Cytokin, ein Monokin oder ein Immuno-Modulator ist.
- 20 3. Zubereitung nach Anspruch 2, worin das bioaktive Mittel Influenza-Antigen, Staphylococcus-Antigen, Atemwegs-Syncyten-Antigen (respiratory syncytial antigen), Parainfluenzavirus-Antigen, Hemophilus-Influenza-Antigen, Bordetella pertussis-Antigen, Neisseria gonorrhoeae-Antigen, Streptococcus pneumoniae-Antigen, Plasmodium falciparum-Antigen, Helminthen-Pathogen-Antigen (helminthic pathogen antigen), Virus-Antigen, Bakterien-Antigen,
- 25 Pilz-Antigen oder Protozoen-Antigen oder ein Antigen zum Impfen gegen Allergien ist.
4. Zubereitung nach Anspruch 3, worin das bioaktive Mittel einen Influenza-Virus oder Staphylokokken-Enterotoxin B umfaßt.
- 30 5. Zubereitung nach einem der Ansprüche 1 bis 4, worin der Träger ein Polymer oder Copolymer umfaßt.
6. Zubereitung nach Anspruch 5, worin der Träger ein Poly-(DL-lactid-co-glycolid), ein Poly-(L-lactid), ein Poly-(DL-lactid), ein Poly-(glycolid), ein Copolyoxalat, ein Polycaprolacton, ein Poly-(lactid-co-caprolacton), ein Polyesteramid, einen Polyorthoester, eine Poly-(β-hydroxybuttersäure) oder ein Polyanhydrid oder eine Mischung daraus
- 35 umfaßt.
7. Zubereitung nach einem der Ansprüche 1 bis 6, worin die Zubereitung angepaßt ist ausschließlich für eine orale Verabreichung an den Gastrointestinaltrakt.
- 40 8. Zubereitung nach einem der Ansprüche 1 bis 6, worin die Zubereitung angepaßt ist ausschließlich für eine orale Inhalation, eine nasale Verabreichung, eine rektale Verabreichung oder eine ophthalmische Verabreichung.
9. Zubereitung nach einem der Ansprüche 1 bis 6, welche bestimmt ist zur oralen Inhalation, nasalen Verabreichung, rektalen Verabreichung oder ophthalmischen Verabreichung.
- 45 10. Verwendung von
  - (1) biokompatiblen Mikrokapseln, die ein bioaktives Mittel umfassen, das in einem biokompatiblen Träger eingekapselt ist, und die eine Größe von 1 µm bis weniger als 5 µm aufweisen, zur Schaffung systemischer Immunität; und
  - 50 (2) biokompatiblen Mikrokapseln, die ein bioaktives Mittel umfassen, das in einem biokompatiblen Träger eingekapselt ist, und die eine Größe zwischen 5 µm und 10 µm aufweisen, zur Schaffung von Schleimhaut-Immunität,
  - 55 bei der Herstellung einer Zubereitung, die selektiv durch das mit der Schleimhaut verbundene lymphoretikuläre Gewebe absorbiert werden kann, zur Schaffung von sowohl systemischer Immunität als auch Schleimhaut-Immunität bei einem Menschen oder einem anderen Tier.

11. Verfahren zur Herstellung einer Zubereitung, um bei einem Menschen oder einem anderen Tier sowohl systemische Immunität als auch Schleimhaut-Immunität zu schaffen, wobei das Verfahren den Schritt umfaßt, daß man für eine derartige Verwendung formuliert

(1) biokompatible Mikrokapseln, die ein bioaktives Mittel umfassen, das in einem biokompatiblen Träger eingekapselt ist, und die eine Größe von 1 µm bis weniger als 5 µm aufweisen, um systemische Immunität zu schaffen, und

(2) biokompatible Mikrokapseln, die ein bioaktives Mittel umfassen, das in einem biokompatiblen Träger eingekapselt ist, und die eine Größe zwischen 5 µm und 10 µm aufweisen, um Schleimhaut-Immunität zu schaffen.

12. Verwendung nach Anspruch 10 oder Verfahren nach Anspruch 11, welche(s) außerdem das bzw. die spezielle(n) Merkmal(e) einschließt, das/die in einem oder mehreren der Ansprüche 2 bis 9 genannt ist/sind.

13. Zubereitung, die angepaßt ist ausschließlich zur Verabreichung auf einem Weg, der von einer oralen Verabreichung verschieden ist, an den Gastrointestinaltrakt, zur selektiven Abgabe eines bioaktiven Mittels an mit der Schleimhaut verbundenes lymphoretikulares Gewebe eines Menschen oder eines anderen Tieres, wobei die Zubereitung umfaßt

(1) biokompatible Mikrokapseln, die ein bioaktives Mittel umfassen, das in einem biokompatiblen Träger eingekapselt ist, und die eine Größe von 1 µm bis weniger als 5 µm aufweisen, um systemische Immunität zu schaffen, und

(2) biokompatible Mikrokapseln, die ein bioaktives Mittel umfassen, das in einem biokompatiblen Träger eingekapselt ist, und die eine Größe zwischen 5 µm und 10 µm aufweisen, um Schleimhaut-Immunität zu schaffen, mit der Maßgabe, daß entweder (i) die Zubereitung angepaßt ist ausschließlich für eine orale Inhalation, eine nasale Verabreichung, rektale Verabreichung oder ophthalmische Verabreichung, oder (ii) der Träger kein Proteinoid ist.

14. Zubereitung nach Anspruch 13, welche außerdem das bzw. die spezielle(n) Merkmal(e) einschließt, das/die in einem oder mehreren der Ansprüche 2 bis 6, 8 und 9 genannt ist/sind.

15. Verwendung biokompatibler Mikrokapseln, die ein bioaktives Mittel umfassen, das in einem biokompatiblen Träger eingekapselt ist, und die eine Größe von 1 µm bis weniger als 5 µm aufweisen, zur Absorption durch und zum Durchgang durch mit der Schleimhaut verbundenes lymphoretikulares Gewebe zur Herstellung einer Zubereitung, um systemische Immunität zu schaffen.

16. Verwendung biokompatibler Mikrokapseln, die ein bioaktives Mittel umfassen, das in einem biokompatiblen Träger eingekapselt ist, und die eine Größe zwischen 5 µm und 10 µm aufweisen, zur Absorption und Retention durch mit der Schleimhaut verbundenes lymphoretikulares Gewebe bei der Herstellung einer Zubereitung, um Schleimhaut-Immunität zu schaffen.

17. Verwendung nach Anspruch 15 oder Anspruch 16, welche außerdem das bzw. die spezielle(n) Merkmal(e) einschließt, das/die in einem oder mehreren der Ansprüche 2 bis 9 genannt ist/sind.

18. Zubereitung zur Potenzierung der Immunantwort eines Menschen oder eines anderen Tieres, welche Mikrokapseln umfaßt, die eine Größe zwischen 1 µm und 10 µm aufweisen und ein bioaktives Mittel enthalten, das in einem biokompatiblen Träger eingekapselt ist, wobei die Zubereitung angepaßt ist ausschließlich zur parenteralen Verabreichung, mit der Maßgabe, daß der Träger kein Proteinoid oder keine Polyacryl-Stärke ist.

19. Zubereitung nach Anspruch 18, welche außerdem das bzw. die spezielle(n) Merkmal(e) einschließt, das/die in einem oder mehreren der Ansprüche 2 bis 6 genannt ist/sind.

20. Verfahren zur Herstellung einer pharmazeutischen Zubereitung, umfassend die Schritte, daß man

- ein bioaktives Mittel in einem biokompatiblen Träger unter Bildung erster Mikrokapseln, die eine Größe von 1 µm bis weniger als 5 µm aufweisen, und zweiter Mikrokapseln, die eine Größe zwischen 5 µm und 10 µm aufweisen, einkapselt; und
- die ersten und zweiten Mikrokapseln zu einer pharmazeutischen Zubereitung formuliert, die angepaßt ist ausschließlich für die Verabreichung über einen Weg, der verschieden ist von einer oralen Verabreichung, an den Gastrointestinaltrakt, mit der Maßgabe, daß entweder (i) die Zubereitung angepaßt ist ausschließlich für eine

orale Inhalation, eine nasale Verabreichung, rektale Verabreichung oder ophthalmische Verabreichung, oder (ii) der Träger kein Proteinoid ist.

21. Verfahren nach Anspruch 20, welches außerdem das bzw. die spezielle(n) Merkmal(e) einschließt, das/die in einem oder mehreren der Ansprüche 2 bis 6 genannt ist/sind.
22. Verfahren zur Herstellung einer pharmazeutischen Zubereitung, das die Schritte umfaßt, daß man
  - ein bioaktives Mittel in einem biokompatiblen Träger unter Bildung von Mikrokapseln mit einer Größe zwischen 1 µm und 10 µm einkapselt; und
  - die Kapseln zu einer Zubereitung formuliert, die angepaßt ist ausschließlich zur parenteralen Verabreichung, mit der Maßgabe, daß der Träger kein Proteinoid oder keine Polyacryl-Stärke ist.
23. Verfahren nach Anspruch 22, welches außerdem das bzw. die spezielle(n) Merkmal(e) umfaßt, das/die in einem oder mehreren der Ansprüche 2 bis 6 genannt ist/sind.
24. Zubereitung zur Potenzierung der Immunantwort eines Menschen oder eines anderen Tieres, welche eine Mischung eines ersten freien bioaktiven Mittels zur Schaffung einer primären Antwort und biokompatible Mikrokapseln mit einer Größe zwischen 1 µm und 10 µm umfaßt und ein zweites bioaktives Mittel enthält, das in einem biokompatiblen Träger eingekapselt ist, zur pulsartigen Freigabe unter Schaffung einer Folge-Antwort.
25. Zubereitung nach Anspruch 24, worin wenigstens eines der Mittel ein Antigen, ein Allergen, ein Lymphokin, ein Cytokin, ein Monokin oder ein Immuno-Modulator ist.
26. Zubereitung nach Anspruch 24, worin sowohl das erste als auch das zweite Mittel ein Antigen sind oder worin beide Mittel ein Allergen sind.
27. Zubereitung nach einem der Ansprüche 24 bis 26, worin der Träger eine Substanz ist, wie sie in Anspruch 5 oder in Anspruch 6 definiert ist.
28. Verfahren zur Herstellung einer pharmazeutischen Zubereitung, welches die Schritte umfaßt, daß man
  - ein bioaktives Mittel, das gewählt ist aus Antigenen, Allergenen, Lymphokinen, Cytokinen, Monokinen und Immuno-Modulator-Mitteln, in einem biokompatiblen Träger unter Bildung von Mikrokapseln mit einer Größe zwischen 1 µm und 10 µm einkapselt; und
  - ein bioaktives Mittel, das unter den vorstehend genannten Mitteln ausgewählt ist, in freier Form und die Mikrokapseln in die Zubereitung formuliert.
29. Verfahren nach Anspruch 28, worin sowohl das erste Mittel als auch das zweite Mittel ein Antigen sind oder worin beide Mittel ein Allergen sind.
30. Verfahren nach Anspruch 28 oder Anspruch 29, worin der Träger wie in Anspruch 5 oder in Anspruch 6 definiert ist.

#### Patentansprüche für folgende Vertragsstaaten : ES, GR

1. Verfahren zur Herstellung einer Zubereitung zur Abgabe eines bioaktiven Mittels an das mit der Schleimhaut verbundene lymphoretikuläre Gewebe eines Menschen oder eines anderen Tieres, das die Schritte umfaßt, daß man
  - ein bioaktives Mittel in einem biokompatiblen Träger unter Bildung erster biokompatibler Mikrokapseln mit einer Größe von 1 µm bis weniger als 5 µm einkapselt, zur selektiven Absorption durch und zum Durchgang durch das mit der Schleimhaut verbundene lymphoretikuläre Gewebe, um systemische Immunität zu schaffen; und
  - das bioaktive Mittel in einem biokompatiblen Träger unter Bildung zweiter biokompatibler Mikrokapseln mit einer Größe zwischen 5 µm und 10 µm einkapselt, zur selektiven Absorption und Retention durch mit der Schleimhaut verbundenes lymphoretikulares Gewebe, um Schleimhaut-Immunität zu schaffen; und
  - die ersten und zweiten Mikrokapseln unter Bildung eines kombinierten Präparats zum Potenzieren der Immunantwort eines Menschen oder eines anderen Tieres zusammen gibt.
2. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1, worin das bioaktive Mittel ein Antigen, ein Allergen, ein Lymphokin, ein Cytokin, ein Monokin oder ein Immuno-Modulator ist.

3. Verfahren nach Anspruch 2, worin das bioaktive Mittel Influenza-Antigen, Staphylococcus-Antigen, Atemwegs-Syncyten-Antigen (respiratory syncytial antigen), Parainfluenzavirus-Antigen, Hemophilus-Influenza-Antigen, Bordetella pertussis-Antigen, Neisseria gonorrhoeae-Antigen, Streptococcus pneumoniae-Antigen, Plasmodium falciparum-Antigen, Helminthen-Pathogen-Antigen (helminthic pathogen antigen), Virus-Antigen, Bakterien-Antigen, Pilz-Antigen oder Protozoen-Antigen oder ein Antigen zum Impfen gegen Allergien ist.
4. Verfahren nach Anspruch 3, worin das bioaktive Mittel einen Influenza-Virus oder Staphylokokken-Enterotoxin B umfaßt.
5. Verfahren nach einem der Ansprüche 1 bis 4, worin der Träger ein Polymer oder Copolymer umfaßt.
6. Verfahren nach Anspruch 5, worin der Träger ein Poly-(DL-lactid-co-glycolid), ein Poly-(L-lactid), ein Poly-(DL-lactid), ein Poly-(glycolid), ein Copolyoxalat, ein Polycaprolacton, ein Poly-(lactid-co-caprolacton), ein Polyesteramid, einen Polyorthoester, eine Poly-( $\beta$ -hydroxybuttersäure) oder ein Polyanhydrid oder eine Mischung daraus umfaßt.
7. Verfahren nach einem der Ansprüche 1 bis 6, worin die Mikrokapseln unter Bildung einer Zubereitung kombiniert werden, die angepaßt ist ausschließlich für eine orale Verabreichung an den Gastrointestinaltrakt.
8. Verfahren nach einem der Ansprüche 1 bis 6, worin die Mikrokapseln unter Bildung einer Zubereitung kombiniert werden, die angepaßt ist ausschließlich für eine orale Inhalation, eine nasale Verabreichung, eine rektale Verabreichung oder eine ophthalmische Verabreichung.
9. Verfahren nach einem der Ansprüche 1 bis 6, worin die Mikrokapseln unter Bildung einer Zubereitung kombiniert werden, die bestimmt ist zur oralen Inhalation, nasalen Verabreichung, rektalen Verabreichung oder ophthalmischen Verabreichung.
10. Verwendung von
  - (1) biokompatiblen Mikrokapseln, die ein bioaktives Mittel umfassen, das in einem biokompatiblen Träger eingekapselt ist, und die eine Größe von 1  $\mu$ m bis weniger als 5  $\mu$ m aufweisen, zur Schaffung systemischer Immunität; und
  - (2) biokompatiblen Mikrokapseln, die ein bioaktives Mittel umfassen, das in einem biokompatiblen Träger eingekapselt ist, und die eine Größe zwischen 5  $\mu$ m und 10  $\mu$ m aufweisen, zur Schaffung von Schleimhaut-Immunität,bei der Herstellung einer Zubereitung, die selektiv durch das mit der Schleimhaut verbundene lymphoretikuläre Gewebe absorbiert werden kann, zur Schaffung von sowohl systemischer Immunität als auch Schleimhaut-Immunität bei einem Menschen oder einem anderen Tier.
11. Verfahren zur Herstellung einer Zubereitung, um bei einem Menschen oder einem anderen Tier sowohl systemische Immunität als auch Schleimhaut-Immunität zu schaffen, welches die Schritte umfaßt, daß man
  - ein bioaktives Mittel in einem biokompatiblen Träger unter Bildung erster biokompatibler Mikrokapseln mit einer Größe von 1  $\mu$ m bis weniger als 5  $\mu$ m einkapselt, um systemische Immunität zu schaffen;
  - ein bioaktives Mittel in einem biokompatiblen Träger unter Bildung zweiter biokompatibler Mikrokapseln mit einer Größe zwischen 5  $\mu$ m und 10  $\mu$ m einkapselt, um Schleimhaut-Immunität zu schaffen; und
  - die ersten und zweiten Mikrokapseln zu einer Zubereitung für einen derartigen Gebrauch formuliert.
12. Verwendung nach Anspruch 10 oder Verfahren nach Anspruch 11, welche(s) außerdem das bzw. die spezielle(n) Merkmal(e) einschließt, das/die in einem oder mehreren der Ansprüche 2 bis 9 genannt ist/sind.
13. Verwendung biokompatibler Mikrokapseln, die ein bioaktives Mittel umfassen, das in einem biokompatiblen Träger eingekapselt ist, und die eine Größe von 1  $\mu$ m bis weniger als 5  $\mu$ m aufweisen, zur Absorption durch und zum Durchgang durch mit der Schleimhaut verbundenes lymphoretikulares Gewebe bei der Herstellung einer Zubereitung, um systemische Immunität zu schaffen.
14. Verwendung von biokompatiblen Mikrokapseln, die ein bioaktives Mittel umfassen, das in einem biokompatiblen Träger eingekapselt ist, und die eine Größe zwischen 5  $\mu$ m und 10  $\mu$ m aufweisen, zur Absorption und Retention



durch mit der Schleimhaut verbundenes lymphoretikulares Gewebe bei der Herstellung einer Zubereitung, um Schleimhaut-Immunität zu schaffen.

5 15. Verwendung nach Anspruch 13 oder Anspruch 14, welche außerdem das bzw. die spezielle(n) Merkmal(e) einschließt, das/die in einem oder mehreren der Ansprüche 2 bis 9 genannt ist/sind.

16. Verfahren zur Herstellung einer pharmazeutischen Zubereitung, welches die Schritte umfaßt, daß man

- 10
- ein bioaktives Mittel in einem biokompatiblen Träger unter Bildung erster Mikrokapseln mit einer Größe von 1 µm bis weniger als 5 µm und zweiter Mikrokapseln mit einer Größe zwischen 5 µm und 10 µm einkapselt; und
  - die ersten und zweiten Mikrokapseln zu einer pharmazeutischen Zubereitung formuliert, die angepaßt ist ausschließlich zur Verabreichung auf einem Weg, der von einer oralen Verabreichung verschieden ist, an den Gastrointestinaltrakt;

15 mit der Maßgabe, daß entweder (i) die Zubereitung angepaßt ist ausschließlich für eine orale Inhalation, eine nasale Verabreichung, rektale Verabreichung oder ophthalmische Verabreichung, oder (ii) der Träger kein Proteinoid ist.

20 17. Verfahren nach Anspruch 16, welches außerdem das bzw. die spezielle(n) Merkmal(e) einschließt, das/die in einem oder mehreren der Ansprüche 2 bis 6 genannt ist/sind.

18. Verfahren zur Herstellung einer pharmazeutischen Zubereitung, das die Schritte umfaßt, daß man

- 25
- ein bioaktives Mittel in einem biokompatiblen Träger unter Bildung von Mikrokapseln mit einer Größe zwischen 1 µm und 10 µm einkapselt; und
  - die Kapseln zu einer Zubereitung formuliert, die angepaßt ist ausschließlich zur parenteralen Verabreichung, mit der Maßgabe, daß der Träger kein Proteinoid oder keine Polyacryl-Stärke ist.

30 19. Verfahren nach Anspruch 18, welches außerdem das bzw. die spezielle(n) Merkmal(e) einschließt, das/die in einem oder mehreren der Ansprüche 2 bis 6 genannt ist/sind.

20. Verfahren zur Herstellung einer pharmazeutischen Zubereitung, welches die Schritte umfaßt, daß man

- 35
- ein bioaktives Mittel in einem biokompatiblen Träger unter Bildung von Mikrokapseln mit einer Größe zwischen 1 µm und 10 µm einkapselt; und
  - das bioaktive Mittel in freier Form und die Mikrokapseln in die Zubereitung formuliert.

40 21. Verfahren nach Anspruch 20, worin das bioaktive Mittel gewählt ist aus Antigenen, Allergenen, Lymphokinen, Cytokinen, Monokinen oder Immuno-Modulator-Mitteln.

22. Verfahren nach Anspruch 21, worin sowohl das erste als auch das zweite Mittel ein Antigen sind oder beide Mittel ein Allergen sind.

45 23. Verfahren nach einem der Ansprüche 20 bis 22, worin der Träger wie in Anspruch 5 oder in Anspruch 6 definiert ist.

## Revendications

Revendications pour les Etats contractants suivants : DE, GB, FR, IT, NL, SE, CH, LI, BE, AT, LU

50 1. Composition pour délivrer un agent bioactif au tissu lymphoréticulaire associé à une muqueuse chez un être humain ou un animal, comprenant:

des microcapsules biocompatibles comprenant un agent bioactif encapsulé dans un excipient biocompatible et ayant une taille de 1 µm à moins de 5 µm, pour permettre une absorption sélective par le tissu lymphoréticulaire associé à une muqueuse et un passage à travers celui-ci pour procurer une immunité systémique, et

55 des microcapsules biocompatibles comprenant l'agent bioactif encapsulé dans un excipient biocompatible et ayant une taille comprise entre 5 µm et 10 µm, pour permettre une absorption sélective et une rétention par le tissu lymphoréticulaire associé à une muqueuse pour procurer une immunité mucosique, sous forme d'une préparation combinée pour potentialiser la réaction immunitaire chez un être humain ou un animal.

2. Composition selon la revendication 1, dans laquelle l'agent bioactif est un antigène, un allergène, une lymphokine, une cytokine, une monokine ou un immunomodulateur.
3. Composition selon la revendication 2, dans laquelle l'agent bioactif est un antigène de la grippe, un antigène de Staphylococcus, un antigène syncytial respiratoire, un antigène du virus para-grippal, un antigène d'Hemophilus influenza, un antigène de Bordetella pertussis, un antigène de Neisseria gonorrhoeae, un antigène de Streptococcus pneumoniae, un antigène de Plasmodium falciparum, un antigène helminthique pathogène, un antigène viral, un antigène bactérien, un antigène fongique ou un antigène de protozoaires ou un antigène pour vacciner contre une allergie.
4. Composition selon la revendication 3, dans laquelle l'agent bioactif comprend un virus de la grippe ou une entérotoxine B staphylococcique.
5. Composition selon l'une quelconque des revendications 1 à 4, dans laquelle l'excipient comprend un polymère ou un copolymère.
6. Composition selon la revendication 5, dans laquelle l'excipient comprend un poly(DL-lactide-co-glycolide), un poly(L-lactide), un poly(DL-lactide), un poly(glycolide), un copolyoxalate, une polycaprolactone, une poly(lactide-co-caprolactone), un poly(estéramide), un polyorthoester, un poly(acide  $\beta$ -hydroxybutyrique) ou un polyanhydride, ou un mélange de ceux-ci.
7. Composition selon l'une quelconque des revendications 1 à 6, dans laquelle la composition est adaptée exclusivement à l'administration orale vers l'appareil gastro-intestinal.
8. Composition selon l'une quelconque des revendications 1 à 6, dans laquelle la composition est adaptée exclusivement à l'inhalation orale, à l'administration nasale, rectale ou ophtalmique.
9. Composition selon l'une quelconque des revendications 1 à 6, qui est destinée à l'inhalation orale, à l'administration nasale, rectale ou ophtalmique.
10. Utilisation dans la préparation d'une composition pouvant être sélectivement absorbée par le tissu lymphoréticulaire associé à une muqueuse pour procurer à un être humain ou à un animal à la fois une immunité systémique et une immunité mucosique, (1) de microcapsules biocompatibles comprenant un agent bioactif encapsulé dans un excipient biocompatible et ayant une taille de 1  $\mu$ m à moins de 5  $\mu$ m pour procurer l'immunité systémique et (2) de microcapsules biocompatibles comprenant un agent bioactif encapsulé dans un excipient biocompatible et ayant une taille comprise entre 5  $\mu$ m et 10  $\mu$ m pour procurer l'immunité mucosique.
11. Procédé de préparation d'une composition destinée à procurer à un être humain ou à un animal, à la fois une immunité systémique et une immunité mucosique, comprenant la formulation pour une telle utilisation (1) de microcapsules biocompatibles comprenant un agent bioactif encapsulé dans un excipient biocompatible et ayant une taille de 1  $\mu$ m à moins de 5  $\mu$ m pour procurer l'immunité systémique et (2) de microcapsules biocompatibles comprenant un agent bioactif encapsulé dans un excipient biocompatible et ayant une taille comprise entre 5  $\mu$ m et 10  $\mu$ m pour procurer l'immunité mucosique.
12. Utilisation selon la revendication 10 ou procédé selon la revendication 11, qui comprend en outre la ou les caractéristiques spécifiques énumérées dans une ou plusieurs des revendications 2 à 9.
13. Composition adaptée exclusivement à une administration par une voie autre que l'administration orale vers l'appareil gastro-intestinal et pour délivrer de manière sélective un agent bioactif au tissu lymphoréticulaire associé à une muqueuse chez un être humain ou un animal, la composition comprenant (1) des microcapsules biocompatibles comprenant un agent bioactif encapsulé dans un excipient biocompatible et ayant une taille de 1  $\mu$ m à moins de 5  $\mu$ m pour procurer une immunité systémique et (2) des microcapsules biocompatibles comprenant un agent bioactif encapsulé dans un excipient biocompatible et ayant une taille comprise entre 5  $\mu$ m et 10  $\mu$ m pour procurer une immunité mucosique, à condition que (i) la composition soit adaptée exclusivement à l'inhalation orale, à l'administration nasale, rectale ou ophtalmique ou (ii) que l'excipient ne soit pas un protéinoïde.
14. Composition selon la revendication 13, qui comprend en outre la ou les caractéristiques spécifiques énumérées dans une ou plusieurs des revendications 2 à 6, 8 et 9.

15. Utilisation dans la préparation d'une composition procurant une immunité systémique, de microcapsules biocompatibles comprenant un agent bioactif encapsulé dans un excipient biocompatible et ayant une taille de 1  $\mu\text{m}$  à moins de 5  $\mu\text{m}$  pour permettre une absorption par le tissu lymphoréticulaire associé à une muqueuse et un passage à travers celui-ci.
- 5 16. Utilisation dans la préparation d'une composition procurant une immunité mucosique, de microcapsules biocompatibles comprenant un agent bioactif encapsulé dans un excipient biocompatible et ayant une taille comprise entre 5  $\mu\text{m}$  et 10  $\mu\text{m}$  pour permettre une absorption et une rétention par le tissu lymphoréticulaire associé à une muqueuse.
- 10 17. Utilisation selon la revendication 15 ou la revendication 16, qui comprend en outre la ou les caractéristiques spécifiques énumérées dans une ou plusieurs des revendications 2 à 9.
- 15 18. Composition pour potentialiser la réponse immunitaire chez un être humain ou un animal, comprenant des microcapsules ayant une taille comprise entre 1  $\mu\text{m}$  et 10  $\mu\text{m}$  contenant un agent bioactif encapsulé dans un excipient biocompatible, dans laquelle la composition est adaptée exclusivement à l'administration parentérale, à condition que l'excipient ne soit pas un protéinoïde ou un polyacrylamidon.
- 20 19. Composition selon la revendication 18, qui comprend en outre la ou les caractéristiques spécifiques énumérées dans une ou plusieurs des revendications 2 à 6.
- 25 20. Procédé de préparation d'une composition pharmaceutique, comprenant l'encapsulation d'un agent bioactif dans un excipient biocompatible pour former les premières microcapsules ayant une taille de 1  $\mu\text{m}$  à moins de 5  $\mu\text{m}$ , et les secondes microcapsules ayant une taille comprise entre 5  $\mu\text{m}$  et 10  $\mu\text{m}$ , et la formulation des premières et secondes microcapsules dans une composition pharmaceutique adaptée exclusivement à une administration par une voie autre que l'administration orale vers l'appareil gastro-intestinal, à condition que (i) la composition soit adaptée exclusivement à l'inhalation orale, à l'administration nasale, rectale ou ophtalmique ou (ii) que l'excipient ne soit pas un protéinoïde.
- 30 21. Procédé selon la revendication 20, qui comprend en outre la ou les caractéristiques spécifiques énumérées dans une ou plusieurs des revendications 2 à 6.
- 35 22. Procédé de préparation d'une composition pharmaceutique, comprenant l'encapsulation d'un agent bioactif dans un excipient biocompatible pour former des microcapsules ayant une taille comprise entre 1  $\mu\text{m}$  et 10  $\mu\text{m}$  et la formulation des capsules dans une composition adaptée exclusivement à l'administration parentérale, à condition que l'excipient ne soit pas un protéinoïde ou un polyacrylamidon.
- 40 23. Procédé selon la revendication 22, qui comprend en outre la ou les caractéristiques spécifiques énumérées dans une ou plusieurs des revendications 2 à 6.
- 45 24. Composition pour potentialiser la réponse immunitaire chez un être humain ou un animal, comprenant un mélange d'un premier agent bioactif libre pour procurer une réponse primaire et de microcapsules biocompatibles ayant une taille comprise entre 1  $\mu\text{m}$  et 10  $\mu\text{m}$  et contenant un second agent bioactif encapsulé dans un excipient biocompatible pour permettre une libération de manière pulsatile pour procurer une réponse ultérieure.
- 50 25. Composition selon la revendication 24, dans laquelle au moins un des agents est un antigène, un allergène, une lymphokine, une cytokine, une monokine ou un immunomodulateur.
26. Composition selon la revendication 24, dans laquelle le premier et le second agent sont tous deux un antigène ou un allergène.
27. Composition selon l'une quelconque des revendications 24 à 26, dans laquelle l'excipient est tel que défini dans la revendication 5 ou dans la revendication 6.
- 55 28. Procédé de préparation d'une composition pharmaceutique, comprenant l'encapsulation d'un agent bioactif choisi parmi les antigènes, les allergènes, les lymphokines, les cytokines, les monokines et les agents immunomodulateurs dans un excipient biocompatible pour former des microcapsules ayant une taille comprise entre 1  $\mu\text{m}$  et 10  $\mu\text{m}$ , et la formulation d'un agent bioactif choisi comme susmentionné sous forme libre et des microcapsules dans la composition.

29. Procédé selon la revendication 28, dans lequel le premier et le second agent sont tous deux un antigène ou un allergène.

5 30. Procédé selon la revendication 28 ou la revendication 29, dans lequel l'excipient est tel que défini dans la revendication 5 ou dans la revendication 6.

**Revendications pour les Etats contractants suivants : ES, GR**

- 10 1. Procédé de préparation d'une composition pour délivrer un agent bioactif au tissu lymphoréticulaire associé à une muqueuse chez un être humain ou un animal, comprenant:  
l'encapsulation d'un agent bioactif dans un excipient biocompatible pour former les premières microcapsules biocompatibles ayant une taille de 1 µm à moins de 5 µm, pour permettre une absorption sélective par le tissu lymphoréticulaire associé à une muqueuse et un passage à travers celui-ci, pour procurer une immunité systémique, et  
15 l'encapsulation de l'agent bioactif dans un excipient biocompatible pour former les secondes microcapsules biocompatibles ayant une taille comprise entre 5 µm et 10 µm, pour permettre une absorption sélective et une rétention par le tissu lymphoréticulaire associé à une muqueuse, pour procurer une immunité mucosique, et la combinaison des premières et secondes microcapsules pour former une préparation combinée utile pour potentialiser la réponse immunitaire chez un être humain ou un animal.
- 20 2. Procédé selon la revendication 1, dans lequel l'agent bioactif est un antigène, un allergène, une lymphokine, une cytokine, une monokine ou un immunomodulateur.
3. Procédé selon la revendication 2, dans lequel l'agent bioactif est un antigène de la grippe, un antigène de Staphylococcus, un antigène syncytial respiratoire, un antigène du virus para-grippal, un antigène d'Hemophilus influenza, 25 un antigène de Bordetella pertussis, un antigène de Neisseria gonorrhoeae, un antigène de Streptococcus pneumoniae, un antigène de Plasmodium falciparum, un antigène helminthique pathogène, un antigène viral, un antigène bactérien, un antigène fongique ou un antigène de protozoaires ou un antigène pour vacciner contre une allergie.
- 30 4. Procédé selon la revendication 3, dans lequel l'agent bioactif comprend un virus de la grippe ou une entérotoxine B staphylococcique.
5. Procédé selon l'une quelconque des revendications 1 à 4, dans lequel l'excipient comprend un polymère ou un copolymère.
- 35 6. Procédé selon la revendication 5, dans lequel l'excipient comprend un poly(DL-lactide-co-glycolide), un poly(L-lactide), un poly(DL-lactide), un poly(glycolide), un copolyoxalate, une polycaprolactone, une poly(lactide-co-caprolactone), un poly(estéramide), un polyorthoester, un poly(acide β-hydroxybutyrique) ou un polyanhydride, ou un mélange de ceux-ci.
- 40 7. Procédé selon l'une quelconque des revendications 1 à 6, dans lequel les microcapsules sont combinées pour former une composition adaptée exclusivement à l'administration orale vers l'appareil gastro-intestinal.
8. Procédé selon l'une quelconque des revendications 1 à 6, dans lequel les microcapsules sont combinées pour former une composition adaptée exclusivement à l'inhalation orale, à l'administration nasale, rectale ou ophtalmique.
- 45 9. Procédé selon l'une quelconque des revendications 1 à 6, dans lequel les microcapsules sont combinées pour former une composition qui est destinée à l'inhalation orale, à l'administration nasale, rectale ou ophtalmique.
- 50 10. Utilisation dans la préparation d'une composition pouvant être sélectivement absorbée par le tissu lymphoréticulaire associé à une muqueuse pour procurer, à un être humain ou à un animal, à la fois une immunité systémique et une immunité mucosique, (1) de microcapsules biocompatibles comprenant un agent bioactif encapsulé dans un excipient biocompatible et ayant une taille de 1 µm à moins de 5 µm pour procurer l'immunité systémique et (2) 55 de microcapsules biocompatibles comprenant un agent bioactif encapsulé dans un excipient biocompatible et ayant une taille comprise entre 5 µm et 10 µm pour procurer l'immunité mucosique.
11. Procédé de préparation d'une composition procurant à un être humain ou à un animal, à la fois une immunité systémique et une immunité mucosique, comprenant l'encapsulation d'un agent bioactif dans un excipient biocompatible pour former les premières microcapsules biocompatibles ayant une taille de 1 µm à moins de 5 µm pour

procurer l'immunité systémique, l'encapsulation d'un agent bioactif dans un excipient biocompatible pour former les secondes microcapsules biocompatibles ayant une taille comprise entre 5  $\mu\text{m}$  et 10  $\mu\text{m}$  pour procurer l'immunité mucosique, et la formulation des premières et secondes microcapsules dans une composition destinée à une telle utilisation.

5

12. Utilisation selon la revendication 10 ou procédé selon la revendication 11, qui comprend en outre la ou les caractéristiques spécifiques énumérées dans une ou plusieurs des revendications 2 à 9.

10

13. Utilisation dans la préparation d'une composition procurant une immunité systémique, de microcapsules biocompatibles comprenant un agent bioactif encapsulé dans un excipient biocompatible et ayant une taille de 1  $\mu\text{m}$  à moins de 5  $\mu\text{m}$  pour permettre une absorption par le tissu lymphoréticulaire associé à une muqueuse et un passage à travers celui-ci.

15

14. Utilisation dans la préparation d'une composition procurant une immunité mucosique, de microcapsules biocompatibles comprenant un agent bioactif encapsulé dans un excipient biocompatible et ayant une taille comprise entre 5  $\mu\text{m}$  et 10  $\mu\text{m}$  pour permettre une absorption et une rétention par le tissu lymphoréticulaire associé à une muqueuse.

20

15. Utilisation selon la revendication 13 ou la revendication 14, qui comprend en outre la ou les caractéristiques spécifiques énumérées dans une ou plusieurs des revendications 2 à 9.

25

16. Procédé de préparation d'une composition pharmaceutique, comprenant l'encapsulation d'un agent bioactif dans un excipient biocompatible pour former les premières microcapsules ayant une taille de 1  $\mu\text{m}$  à moins de 5  $\mu\text{m}$ , et les secondes microcapsules ayant une taille comprise entre 5  $\mu\text{m}$  et 10  $\mu\text{m}$ , et la formulation des premières et secondes microcapsules dans une composition pharmaceutique adaptée exclusivement à une administration par une voie autre que l'administration orale vers l'appareil gastro-intestinal, à condition que (i) la composition soit adaptée exclusivement à l'inhalation orale, à l'administration nasale, rectale ou ophtalmique ou (ii) que l'excipient ne soit pas un protéinoïde.

30

17. Procédé selon la revendication 16, qui comprend en outre la ou les caractéristiques spécifiques énumérées dans une ou plusieurs des revendications 2 à 6.

35

18. Procédé de préparation d'une composition pharmaceutique, comprenant l'encapsulation d'un agent bioactif dans un excipient biocompatible pour former des microcapsules ayant une taille comprise entre 1  $\mu\text{m}$  et 10  $\mu\text{m}$  et la formulation des capsules dans une composition adaptée exclusivement à l'administration parentérale, à condition que l'excipient ne soit pas un protéinoïde ou un polyacrylamidon.

40

19. Procédé selon la revendication 18, qui comprend en outre la ou les caractéristiques spécifiques énumérées dans une ou plusieurs des revendications 2 à 6.

20. Procédé de préparation d'une composition pharmaceutique, comprenant l'encapsulation d'un agent bioactif dans un excipient biocompatible pour former des microcapsules ayant une taille comprise entre 1  $\mu\text{m}$  et 10  $\mu\text{m}$ , et la formulation dudit agent bioactif sous forme libre et des microcapsules dans la composition.

45

21. Procédé selon la revendication 20, dans lequel l'agent bioactif est choisi parmi les antigènes, les allergènes, les lymphokines, les cytokines, les monokines et les agents immunomodulateurs.

22. Procédé selon la revendication 21, dans lequel le premier et le second agent sont tous deux un antigène ou un allergène.

50

23. Procédé selon l'une quelconque des revendications 20 à 22, dans lequel l'excipient est tel que défini dans la revendication 5 ou la revendication 6.

55




FIG. 1